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Although derivatives are an essential component of a morphological word and cornerstones for vocabulary knowledge, L2 vocabulary research has shown that EFL learners still have inadequate vocabulary knowledge. Therefore, this study attempted to investigate the effect of derivational suffix instruction on vocabulary knowledge. Fifty-eight high school learners were recruited to participate in the study. The learners were divided into two groups: control ( $\mathrm{n}=29$ ) and experimental ( $\mathrm{n}=29$ ). The experimental group received a regular English course with an emphasis on derivational suffix instruction, whereas the control group only received a regular English course. Two tests of receptive and productive derivational suffixes were developed and piloted to measure high school learners' suffix knowledge. Questionnaire was also administered to explore the experimental participants' perceptions of derivational suffix instruction in facilitating vocabulary knowledge. Descriptive and inferential statistics, including pair-sample $t$-tests and independentsample $t$-tests, were conducted to analyze the quantitative data. The results showed that high school learners in both groups significantly performed better on the posttest than on the pretest. The results analysis also revealed that experimental learners significantly outperformed their control counterparts. These findings suggest the efficacy of derivational suffix instruction on English vocabulary knowledge in Thai high school learners. In addition, the questionnaire analysis indicated that experimental high school learners had a positive perception of derivational suffix instruction in improving their vocabulary knowledge. Together, the current findings highlight the importance of derivational suffixes in vocabulary acquisition and development. The study also points out the implications for practitioners and researchers. Other relevant implications and suggestions for future studies are also addressed.
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## CHAPTER I

## INTRODUCTION

This chapter presents the general overview of the research beginning with the background of the study (see 1.1) and the purposes of the study (see 1.2). The chapter further describes the scope of the study (see 1.3) and the significance of the study (see $1.4)$, followed by the definitions of key terms (see 1.5). The chapter ends with the organization of the thesis (see 1.6).

### 1.1 Background of the study

Vocabulary knowledge is undoubtedly essential for second or foreign language (L2) learning and learners' English language proficiency (Laufer, 2017; Nation, 2013; Sasao \& Webb, 2017; Schmidt, 2010; Schmitt, 2010; Webb \& Nation, 2017). Schmitt (2010) noted that "one thing that all of the partners involved in the learning process (students, teachers, materials writers, and researchers) can agree upon is that learning vocabulary is an essential part of mastering a second language" (p. 4). In this regard, Schmitt highlights the importance of vocabulary knowledge on L2 skills. However, acquiring and gaining sufficient vocabulary knowledge has often become a big challenge for most students due to several factors, including characteristics, language exposure, prior knowledge and learning strategies (Nation, 2013; Sukying, 2021; Yunus et al., 2016). Furthermore, vocabulary research suggests that L2 learners need an extensive vocabulary size to communicate in English. Specifically, a vocabulary of around 8000 to 9000 words is required in order to sufficiently comprehend authentic texts while reading (Laufer \& Ravenhorst-Kalovski, 2010; Nation, 2006; Schmitt, 2008; Schmitt, Jiang, \& Grabe, 2011). A vocabulary size of about 6000 to 7000 words is required for understanding spoken discourses. Interpretation of a speaker's communicative intent that was continuing and located includes both the addressee's expectations and actual responses (Cornish, 2006). However, research showed that EFL learners' vocabulary size is 2,100 words, broadly ranging from 1,400 to 4,000 words (Laufer, 2000, Nation, 2006; Nurweni \& Read, 1999). These studies proved that L2 learners' vocabulary size is still a lag behind that needed for mastering a second language (L2).

However, there is still an ongoing debate about the best strategy for teaching vocabulary. Some research suggests that intentional vocabulary teaching techniques,
such as word cards and word parts, effectively promote vocabulary learning and growth (Bubchaiya \& Sukying, 2022; Sukying, 2018b; 2020; Yowaboot \& Sukying, 2022). Other studies also show intentional vocabulary learning is more effective than incidental learning since the latter requires long-term and extensive exposure to lexical input (Elgort, 2011; Magnussen \& Sukying, 2021; Nation, 2013). Besides, research also indicates that the underlying rationale for intentional vocabulary learning is noticing, which is necessary for L2 vocabulary acquisition and development (Hulstijn, 2003). Nation (2013) also argues that noticing deliberate instruction, retrieval, and creative (generative) use promotes vocabulary learning.

Clearly, the previous study provided different effective vocabulary learning, both incidental and deliberate. The incidental teaching showed mastering vocabulary knowledge through recognizing and paying attention to words such as observations, talking with friends, making errors, or reading a particular context (Laufer \& Hulstijn, 2001). Similarly, the researcher's classroom frequently used incidental instruction with students in classroom tasks and activities. However, it was insufficient because using incidental teaching took a long term to remember the words. Some students are in difficult situations based on background knowledge, living conditions, family income, and lack of opportunity to meet new media. They were taught and learned English vocabulary only at school, where teachers' instruments could access to improve the English language, particularly English vocabulary. For example, the internet, visual media, smartphones, and intelligent television may enhance students' familiarity with English. These problems lead to deliberate instruction. Hulstijn (2003) stated that purposeful vocabulary learning acquires knowing the word using memorizing strategies to recall this lexical item later. The suggestion noted that being a master of L2 learners in vocabulary knowledge requires them to remember form and its meaning.

Another successful pedagogy to deal with the lack of vocabulary is to determine the meaning and syntactic category of unfamiliar words by making use of a word's morphological structure since most high-frequency words consist of about six members (Nation, 2013) to suppose that learners will be proved vocabulary knowledge in term of vocabulary size. For instance, it is well known that learners recognize learn as a verb and learnable as an adjective because of its suffix -able. In addition, researchers show
that the first two thousand words make up around $82 \%$ of the coverage of written texts (Nation, 2013). Recognizing the morphemic structure in complex words and knowing the meaning through the more familiar vocabulary items seems very useful. Knowing the form and meaning link is also regarded as the initial stage of vocabulary learning. Indeed, there is ample evidence that learners use word components in learning unfamiliar words (e.g., Sukying, 2017, 2020). In his detailed study, Sukying (2017) shows that an increasing level of education and knowledge of affixes can account for a great deal of the vocabulary growth of high school students. Sukying (2020) also found a significant effect of morphological knowledge on vocabulary growth among Thai university learners. This study aligns with other findings (Mochizuki \& Aizawa, 2000; Schmitt \& Meara, 1997; Schmitt \& Zimmerman, 2002). Other studies also show the link between vocabulary knowledge and derivative knowledge (Hayashi \& Murphy, 2011; Mochizuki \& Aizawa, 2000; Schmitt \& Meara, 1997).

In Thailand, where English is taught as a foreign language, students can learn English from primary school to tertiary education. However, students' vocabulary size is still far behind that needed for academic study (Sukying, 2017; Ward \& Chuenjundaeng, 2009). To this end, vocabulary teaching remained an essential foundation of successful vocabulary learning in an EFL classroom (Bubchaiya \& Sukying, 2022; Hees \& Nation, 2017; Magnussen \& Sukying, 2021; Yowaboot \& Sukying, 2022). As such, vocabulary teaching might be carefully selected for classroom practice to match learners' needs and proficiency levels. Researchers also argue that solid vocabulary was essential for every stage of language learning; therefore, vocabulary was the focus of learning and teaching (Lewis, 1993; Laufer, 1997; Nation, 2013). For instance, Sukying (2020) investigated the effect of affix instruction on vocabulary acquisition among Thai university learners and found a significant improvement in treatment participants. Recently, Bubchaiya and Sukying (2022) implemented the word part strategies to improve primary school students in a provincial area of Northeastern Thailand. They found that students significantly improved their vocabulary knowledge with the satisfaction of word part strategy instruction during the study. Together, these studies proved that affix instruction facilitates students requiring vocabulary knowledge.

The best example of previous studies in the Thai context, Bubchiya and Sukying studies, revealed the importance of teaching affixes to improve vocabulary knowledge and L2 skills. Bubchiya (2022) provided her study in the primary school context to improve vocabulary knowledge with young learners. She used word parts strategies to increase vocabulary knowledge, and Sukying (2020) showed vocabulary development and growth among Thai university learners. The receptive and the productive were constructed for vocabulary knowledge. However, a few focused on derivational suffixes instruction in high school learners. Therefore, this current study focused on the effects of derivational suffixes on Thai high school learners' English vocabulary knowledge to highlight the importance of suffixes in vocabulary knowledge and development. Teaching procedure provided through classroom activities for the development of affix knowledge especially suffixes aspects in EFL contexts and language abilities.

Affixes with an emphasis on derivational suffixes in the current study were essential components of word knowledge (e.g., Sukying, 2017). Such knowledge facilitates students to require new forms of morphologically complex words without learning individual forms separately (Bauer \& Nation, 1993). Based on the literature review, most studies have been done to determine the effect of affix instruction on vocabulary knowledge among primary school students (Bubchaiya \& Sukying, 2022) and university learners (Sukying, 2020). Derivational suffixes could indicate a word's syntactic category, making it easier for learners to understand it in reception and produced in language use. For example, adding the smallest word component suffixes, as -ion to the verb relate, will change it to the noun relation.

In contrast, the adjective relative was designated the suffix addition -ive to the verb relate. These derivational suffix words could be transformed into other syntactic and provided linguistic rules. Thus, the process of English affixes was beneficial for learning lexical components (Sukying, 2020) and demonstrated the ability of derivational suffixes to locate a new word in the sentence (Sukying, 2018a). Therefore, this study investigated the effect of deliberate vocabulary teaching using derivational suffixes on Thai EFL learners studying in grade 8, 2022, at the local high school in Surin, Northeastern Thailand. Understanding the role of derivational
suffixes may yield fruitful information for practitioners and researchers and is worth fulfilling the gap of derivational suffixes instruction in different contexts.

### 1.2 Purposes of the study

The study aimed to examine the effect of derivational suffix instruction on English vocabulary in Thai high school learners. The notion of Bauer and Nation's (1993) word family model and Nation's (2013) framework of word knowledge: form, meaning, and use will be used to operationalize the study. Indeed, the current study focused solely on derivational suffixes, assuming they play a significant role in vocabulary acquisition and development (Nation, 1990, 2013; Schmitt, 2010; Sasao \& Webb, 2017; Sukying, 2017, 2018a, 2018b, 2020, 2022). The current study also sought to explore Thai EFL high school learners' perceptions of derivational suffix instruction. The following research questions were formulated to guide the study:

1. To what extent does derivational suffix instruction affect Thai high school learners' English vocabulary learning?
2. What are Thai high school learners' perceptions of the derivational suffix instruction?

### 1.3 Scope of the study

This study was quasi-experimental research, with two groups, one control group and the other an experimental group, designed to use suffixes based on Bauer and Nation's (1993) word family criteria and the student's book developed by Verginia and Jenny (2021). Therefore, the prompt words were selected based on the school textbook, and affixes were derived from the chosen words. The participants were initially collected from Thai EFL high school learners studying in grade eight, 2022, aged between 14 and 15, at the local high school in Surin, Northeastern, Thailand. The participants were selected from the convenience sampling with similar English level proficiency. The instruction occurred with an experimental group being taught word-building based on derivational suffixes of Bauer and Nation's (1993) word family notion. The experimental group received 13 additional hours of explicit instruction on vocabulary knowledge. By contrast, the control group was not taught any derivational suffixes. Instead, the control group received the same number of English lessons, including listening, reading, speaking and writing.

The data were collected during the 12 weeks or about three months. Indeed, the data collection was carried out during the second semester of the 2022 academic calendar. Three measures of vocabulary knowledge and derivational suffixes, as well as the questionnaire, had employed to collect the quantitative data, which was analyzed using the SPSS software version 22 . The study limited itself to the convenience sampling of one regional school where the researcher was one of the English language teachers. The current findings might not be generalizable to other contexts because the participants were selected using convenience sampling. After all, the researcher was a school teacher. Instead, the initial incentive was to enhance school students' vocabulary acquisition and development. And other learning activities or tasks were also restricted due to the Covid 19 pandemic.

### 1.4 Significance of the study

Assuming that knowledge of word families could facilitate vocabulary learning and development, the study could shed light on the role of derivational suffixes in vocabulary acquisition and growth. Pedagogically, the current findings provided evidence of the significant role of derivational suffixes in vocabulary acquisition and development. Specifically, the efficacy of deliberate teaching of derivational suffixes should be added to EFL classroom practices. Moreover, derivational suffix instruction also mediated learners acquiring linguistic and semantic vocabulary. The aspects of knowledge in derivational suffix instruction are essential for learning and teaching vocabulary in both receptive and productive.

In brief, the study points out that word families are a unit for teaching and learning morphologically complex words. Furthermore, the study provided helpful guidelines for classroom practice for language teachers and implications for researchers and materials developers for further innovations.

### 1.5 Definitions of key terms

A derivational suffix refers to a new word with a new meaning and is usually a different part of speech but can be inferred from the old definition.

Receptive vocabulary knowledge is the ability to recognize and remember the word (knowledge of the form-meaning links) at least partially.
Productive vocabulary knowledge entails the ability to recall and retrieve the form and meaning of the derivational suffix and being able to produce it correctly.
Thai high school learners refer to Thai EFL students who are studying in grade eight, 2023, at the provincial high school in Surin province, Northeastern Thailand
The suffix instruction involves the explicit teaching of derivational suffixes in English based on Bauer and Nation's (1993) word family theory, designated the suffix addition and provided parts of speech.
Perceptions refer to feelings or thoughts about the derivational suffix instruction.
Effect size refers to the strength of an effect (or relationship difference), and it also tells about the differences between groups.

### 1.6 Structure of thesis

Chapter One presents the background of the study, the purposes of the study, the scope of the study, the significance of the study, and definitions of key terms.

Chapter Two presents the conceptualization of vocabulary knowledge. The chapter also conceptualizes the theoretical frameworks of knowing a word, vocabulary learning goals, vocabulary learning strategies (VLSs), and derivational suffix instruction.

Chapter Three outlines the research methodology of the current study, including the research design, the participants and setting, the research instruments, and data collection and analysis procedures.

Chapter Four presents the study results and provides a preliminary discussion of these findings to the research questions. The result reports include descriptive and inferential statistics.

Chapter Five provides a detailed discussion of the research findings and relates these findings to the earlier literature and theory. The results are discussed beyond the structure of the research questions, covering the conceptualization of vocabulary knowledge and word family constructs. It also highlights the essential findings and significant contributions to vocabulary acquisition and offers theoretical and
pedagogical implications. This chapter also points out some limitations arising from the study and discusses potential directions for future studies.


## CHAPTER II

## LITERATURE REVIEW

This chapter outlines the documents in the literature in order to frame the current study. The chapter starts with the theoretical and empirical framework of word knowledge proposed by different vocabulary scholars and researchers (2.1). This follows the notion of morphological knowledge (2.2), roles of derivational suffix knowledge in vocabulary acquisition and growth (2.3), teaching vocabulary (2.4), assessing vocabulary (2.5), and ends with overviews relevant to the previous study (2.6).
2.1 What is involved in knowing a word

Several theoretical frameworks have defined word knowledge as vocabulary knowledge (Laufer, 1998, 1992, 1997; Nation, 2013) or lexical knowledge (Laufer \& Goldstein, 2004; Schmitt, 2014). The definitions associated with the word in the English language involve a single item and phrase or chucks are the ability to provide the particular word's meaning (Henriksen, 1999; Milton, 2009; Nation, 1990, 2001, 2013; Read, 1993, 2000; Schmitt, 2014). In addition, expanding word knowledge can help learners achieve the concrete and abstract meanings connected with comprehension and word knowledge that continues literacy in both speaking and writing. Therefore, word knowledge's classifications are composed of three main aspects; form, meaning, and use (Nation, 2013), which also provide the factors in English linguistics such as grammatical functions.

Based on the definition above clarifies that vocabulary knowledge is a significant aspect that requires learners to be strong in word form, meaning, and use. The knowledge is most useful for speaking, writing, and grammatical functions. This requires lexical researchers who use terminology to support knowing the word. The researcher proposes to define two different binary qualities of lexical knowledge constructed: "breadth" and "depth", the effectiveness of knowing the word.

The conceptualizes vocabulary knowledge slightly earlier perspective presented by Anderson and Freebody (1981), who introduced depth and breadth. "Depth", the comprehension of a single term, reflects the 'quality' or 'depth', the knowledge of a particular word. In contrast, the "breadth" of lexical knowledge refers to the
number of words known at the minimum. In addition, in the recently conducted studies (Li \& Kirby, 2014; Read, 1993, 2004), 'depth' of knowledge refers to the knowledge of learner perceives the word information, while 'breadth' refers to the size of words knowledge as learner's understanding of individual vocabulary. Thus, 'breadth' is easy to define in lexical knowledge considering to size of vocabulary, such as a number for the word meanings are at least partially known; 'depth' in vocabulary knowledge defines a fruitful lexical understanding, how well personal understand a particular word and several associative connections multi-word meaning senses.

As more knowing the word is pointed to three aspects of the knowledge. Henriksen's (1999) research identifies a word knowledge: the knowledge scales of partial-precise characteristics, the knowledge depth, and the knowledge of receptive versus productive dimensions. In general, partial precision comprises varying degrees of word understanding, and the study highlights the degrees of word meaning and shapes identification. Henriksen argues that vocabulary knowledge includes several aspects of a word's "depth" understanding. Similarly, for the receptive-productive dimension, she believes that the ability to use and know a single word is connected with small differences in lexical items.

Due to knowing the word, Nation (2013) suggested three distinct categories of lexical knowledge: form, meaning, and use. The information on 'form' includes the capacity to recognize a word's spoken and written forms as well as the expressive affixes. Knowing the meaning scope of a lexical item requires knowledge of form and meaning, ideas and referents, and lexical associations. Consistent with the field of use is the capacity to utilize the word with linguistic precision (grammar functions) and appropriate collocations (collocations), as well as a knowledge of word usability (use restrictions), including register and frequency. There are nine various dimensions of the term information, including receptive-productive distinctions, according to Nation's (2013) criteria (see Table 1).

Table 1 Components of vocabulary knowledge (Nation, 2013, p. 49)


Notes: $\mathrm{R}=$ receptive, $\mathrm{P}=$ productive
Table 1 assumes the vocabulary knowledge components of Nation (2013). He offered several diverse word knowledge components, including form, meaning, and use, which illustrated learners' particular comprehension of receptive ( R ) and productive $(\mathrm{P})$ word knowledge ( P ). Receptive requires recognizing the form and meaning of a word, whereas productive requires recalling and recovering the word's form and meaning in appropriate contexts.

According to Nation (2013), knowing a word form requires knowledge of its spoken form, written form, and word parts. Understanding both spoken and written versions of words is essential for learners to continue their literacy development. Understanding phonics, spelling, and word restructuring allows learners to interpret the meaning of words and apply them in varied contexts. In receptive knowledge, knowing the spoken form refers to hearing the term and articulating it in a way that allows others to comprehend it in conversation, producing productive knowledge. When reading,
understanding the written form implies the ability to perceive the written form, which is known as receptive knowledge; conversely, knowing the written form involves being able to spell the written form accurately, which is known as productive knowledge (Schmitt, 2000).

Regarding word meaning, Nation (2013) stated that understanding the meaning of a word needs relating form and meaning, conception and referents, and word associations. Typically, both word form and meaning may be learned simultaneously. It means that when learners hear and see the word form, its meaning is recovered, and when they attempt to articulate its meaning, the form is recovered. According to Daulton (1998), essentially identical form in the target and first languages facilitates learning word meanings more easily. Aitcheson (1987) also emphasizes that the boundary among the meanings of words is fuzzy. One of the primary reasons is that schema varies between situations (Schmitt, 2000). In addition, Richards (1976:81) asserts that words don't really exist in isolation. Knowing a word requires an understanding of word association. Word connections are associated with the thoughts of individuals. A single word is provided to a learner, from which unsimilar or contrasting words and related words spontaneously emerge.

Regarding word use, Nation (2013) explains that due to several elements, such as register, frequency, and cultural backgrounds, knowing how to use a word includes understanding its grammatical functions, collocations, and use restrictions. The grammatical function is one of the major key linguistics when selecting a word. The grammatical function is related to the word classes and grammatical patterns in which the word can fit. For instance, we can say, "I bite a lot, I eat a lot, and I talk a lot", but not "I bit a lot, I ate a lot, and I spoke a lot." Registration and regularity are two more categories of word use limitations. Register refers to the stylistic limits that "make each word more or less suitable for particular language settings or linguistic objectives" (Schmitt, 2000:31).

The notion of word is also linked to the concept of word families. Word families were first introduced by Bauer and Nation (1993) as a central organizing unit for language teaching and learning. It is hypothesized that new forms of morphologically complex words can be acquired without learning a particular form separately. Therefore, a word
family refers to a group of words that comprises a headword (base) and all its inflected and all transparent derived vocabulary forms (Bauer \& Nation, 1993). Bauer and Nation (1993) listed the seven levels of word families based on four principal factors: frequency, productivity, regularity, and predictability as showing 7 levels in table 2 , Bauer and Nation's (1993) list of affixes.

In conclusion, the current research will utilize Nation's (2013) framework and operationalize the notion of vocabulary knowledge in various aspects of the scholars. It provides breadth (size or number of words) and depth (a particular word with multi meanings). The vocabulary size, also known as receptive vocabulary knowledge, refers to the quantitative (number of words) as individuals are known, at least to some level. The depth of vocabulary knowledge, which is exchangeable with productive vocabulary knowledge, includes the quality of the learner's comprehension of particular individual words.

### 2.2 Morphological knowledge

Morphological knowledge is often referred to as affix knowledge (Sukying 2017) or morphological awareness (Hayashi \& murphy 2011). Morphological awareness entails internal vocabulary structures that are distinguished and manipulated by learners' ability to segment the smallest words and give meaning to language (Carlisle 2000; Lieber 2010; Sukying 2017, 2018b, 2020, 2022). Words may contain one morpheme, act, or have multiple morphemes, such as actor. The smallest morpheme -or gives a meaningful word to change the base word in a verb act to a noun by adding -or, actor. Further, three morphemes can happen when adding $-s$ after the word actors to transform it into a plural. It is also used in spoken and written forms to learn perception, recognize, combine, manipulate, and produce morphemes, producing both receptive (hear and see) and productive (speak and write). Further identifying the two types of morphemes, free morphemes can stand alone, whereas bound morphemes cannot do it. The functions of bound morphemes are only word units, and a part of a word: un-, tele, -ness, -er (Finegan, 2012, p.46) may have no meaningful word. Controversy with a free morpheme can understand the meaning autonomously (e.g., zoo, house, run). Many English words can use multiple morphemes to build a new word by adding
prefixes and suffixes. One indicates the number, person, or tense called inflectional, but another one is concerned with changing the word's class, called derivatives.

Morphological knowledge includes inflectional and derivational affixes, which are generally helpful in composing a morphologically complex word (Sukying 2018; Claravall 2016; McBride-Chang et al. 2005; Nation 2013; Sasao \& Webb 2017) and allow being used for vocabulary acquisition.
Inflectional knowledge is referred to as inflectional affixes in grammatical features and meaning relationships of the words in the contexts. Its marks are numbers and grammatical as in the plural of nouns (plural), tenses, persons, and comparatives or superlatives degree of adjectives or adverbs (Sukying, 2018). However, the inflectional affixes do not change the parts of speech of the words, but they change the word form to generate grammatical information. For example, the addition of $-s$ marks for numbers (plural), adding -er marks for a comparison, adding -ed/-ing marks for tense, and adding -est marks for (superlative) (Nation, 2013). This is inflectional knowledge, in which affixes can freely attach the smallest word to change the new one and give different grammar features or numbers.

Derivational morphology, referred to as derivational affixes, produces learners' knowledge to constitute both prefixes and suffixes. Some prefixes and most suffixes are designed as syntactic or grammatical features, that is, the ability to recognize semantics or meaning within the words. The knowledge of derivational affixes works across syntactic categories (parts of speech), dividing forms of the root word into different grammatical categories. Thus, the understanding of derivational affixes is included syntactic, relational, and distributional knowledge (Sukying, 2018a).

The awareness of syntactic knowledge reveals grammatical categories in which learners understand the role of the word in the sentences; that is, the ability to recognize derivational suffixes used in the sentences. It could be assumed that a learner understands painful as an adjective due to its suffix -ful and painfully as an adverb because of its suffix -ly. Relational knowledge is the ability to tell apart how a word's complicated internal structure relates to two or more lexemes (the part of a word's formmeaning linkages). The ability to attach, for example, dance to dancer or unlockable to lockable That seems to be, relational knowledge demonstrates the ability to recognize
the connections between the root word and its derived forms. Finally, distributional knowledge is the learner's ability to handle limitations on a base word and its suffix contribution. In short, it involves being aware of the limits of using affixations in sentences. For example, -ment is added to a verb like treatment but not an adjective like badment is not. Therefore, the derivational suffix knowledge component with awareness of an affix's form, meaning, and use. Table 2 shows the useful affix based on Nation, 1993.

Table 2 Bauer and Nation's (1993) list of affixes
$\left.\begin{array}{cl}\hline \text { Level } \mathbf{1} & \text { Base words } \\ \hline \text { Level } 2 & \text { Base words + inflections } \\ & \text {-s (on noun or verb), -ed/ -ing (on verb), -er (er2)/ -est (on adjective), -th (on number), and -en } \\ & \text { (on irregular verb) } \\ \hline \text { Level } 3 & \text { Frequent and regular affixes } \\ & \text {-ablelible, -er/-or (on verb), -ish, -less, -ly, -ness, -th, -y, -non-, un- } 4 \\ & \text { Frequent orthographically regular affixes } \\ & \text { (semanticist), -ity (solemnity), -ize (serialize), -ment (armament), -ous (fibrous) in-, im }\end{array}\right]$

[^0]The seven levels provide significance for learners' learning and teachers' teaching in the school. The basic steps for teaching depend on students' context, generally used, and learners' abilities to recognize them. This is significant of the smallest lexemes, which are added to a base or root and have been transformed systematically to develop a new word (Lieber, 2016). Teachers' instructions focus on learners mastering second language acquisition aspects of the learners' outcome, and developing vocabulary acquisition indicates a long-term meaning reorganization of a word's meaning. Likewise, Vadasy and Nelson (2012) said that the importance of morphology is learners building and developing words. Learners can break down unfamiliar words, conduct meaning in each part, and then hold parts back together at a word's meaning.

## Prefixes

Meaningful parts of word components at the beginning of a base or root word to change the meaning are called prefixes. The meanings of prefixes are added to the meaning of the root or base word. For instance, the prefix "un-," which means "not," transforms the basic word "important" into "not important." However, prefixes cannot change the words into syntactic categories (parts of speech), such as the words faithful and unfaithful, which are both adjectives. The list of frequently used prefixes is presented below. (See Table 3)
Table 3 Examples of the most common prefixes


## Suffixes (derivational suffixes)

The concept of teaching vocabulary strategy is numerous and different techniques. However, teachers need to be aware of and focused on the following key aspects: form, meaning, and use. To derivational suffixes, the process of building the smallest lexeme attaches to the end of the root and forms the new word, such as adding -er to the verb teach to change the noun word, teacher. Several meanings expand, enabling to change of the word classification, such as teach (v) $\rightarrow$ teacher (n). Adam (2014) stated that a base word is built through the formation of new words, is typically complicated, and must present as an independent member of a major word class - noun, adjective, or verb. For instance, defend defense, curios, and curiosity. Likewise, Plag (2002) argued that the new lexical items are derivational forms of new word classification, such as nouns, verbs, adjectives, and adverbs. This means derivational suffixes can unlock learners' familiarity with new words from a root or stem. It is greatly influencing learner comprehension to understand and increase vocabulary knowledge.

Intentionally, in order to change the meaning of a root or base word, a derivational suffix is a meaningful component of a word that is added to the end of the word. A derivational suffix gives words their essential structure and meaning in sentences, and it can also change the part of speech, for example, design (verb) - designer (noun), careful (adjective) - carefully (adverb)

Table 4 Examples of the most common derivational suffixes

| Suffix | Definition | Examples |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -ful | full of | careful, joyful |
| -less | without | fearless, careless |
| -ment | action, process | enjoyment, treatment |
| -ly (adverb) | characteristic of | carefully, friendly, happily, loudly, lovely, quickly, slowly, smoothly |
| -er, or, ist, ian, eer (noun) | someone who; does/what/that/which | eraser, teacher, singer, actor, doctor, artist, cyclist, dentist, librarian, technician, engineer |

To conclude, a word's components are a base word or root and its affixes (prefix and suffix). A prefix or a suffix is added to a base word to produce a new word form. A prefix is a word component that is added to a base word or word's beginning, and a word component called a suffix is added to the end of a word. Therefore, learning how
to decode words' meanings is beneficial using their knowledge of prefixes, suffixes, and root/base words.

### 2.3 Roles of derivational suffix knowledge in vocabulary acquisition and growth

The benefits of derivational suffix knowledge provided a primary essential role in the word family which consisted of root or base words and suffixes. It supports syntactic and semantic yocabulary growth for learners and raises vocabulary knowledge. Mochizuki \& Aizawa's (2000) study has revealed stronger relation between vocabulary size and overall affixes, suffixes, and prefixes knowledge posterior study. Schmitt \& Meara (1997) proposed to range the number of receptive and productive vocabulary sizes of English learners in Japan, which grows from derivational suffix teaching strategies.

Teaching and learning derivational suffixes strategies demonstrate new words and the different word classes for learners to understand easily. According to Schmitt and Zimmerman (2002, pp. 147), the forming derivational suffix is generally adding the suffix and carrying out the different word classes. To clarify, recalling the combined form of suffixes is the first stage for learners able to comprehend the meaning of words that mix suffixes and roots. For example, the word 'writer' is composed of a verb, write, and added -r/er defined new word's class (noun), writer which means a person, so it refers to a person who has written a particular text.

There are numerous methods for introducing suffixes to learners to familiarize them with new terms. Learners can easily understand the meaning of the most frequent suffixes by learning from a teacher who helps guide and improve explicit teaching. However, the learning process depends on how teachers generate or plan classroom activities. The duration should be determined, and learners should be given short assessments to evaluate their progress.

Receptive and productive activities of derivational allow learners to solidify their suffix knowledge and hinge on class participation, pairs, group, or whole class activities. Here is some part of the activity "forms" for teaching derivational suffixes, providing a clearer picture of how learners are familiar with vocabulary knowledge acquisition. The examples will be shown as follows: here are some activities suggested by Nation (2013, pp.129-131).

Table 5 A range of activities for vocabulary learning (Nation, 2013: 129-131)

| Form | Derivational Suffix | $\frac{\text { Filling suffix tables }}{}$Cutting up complex words |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |

As an example, consider the term 'Filling suffix table'. Learners can complete the following tables individually, in pairs, or groups. Not every gap can be filled. Learners are required to classify the assigned word according to its part of speech. As illustrated in the chart below, teachers can ask learners to check their answers with the other pair before revealing the correct answer, as shown in the

Table 6 below

| Noun | Verb | Adjective | Adverb |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| designer | design |  |  |
|  |  | beautiful | beautifully |
|  | care | careful | carefully |
| creation | create | creative | creatively |

The learners will be provided with the target word; ensure that the selected words are unfamiliar. Then, give instructions to learners to complete the table. For example, "Guess if it's a noun, verb, adverb, or adjective? ", "Why do you think it's a noun or verb?" and "How do you know that?" can be used as introductory questions to rise learners' interest and encourage them to learn.
'Cutting up complex words'; The learners will receive a list of words that have been broken up into sections. The instructor can ask them to explain the significance of some of the components. Students can learn to recognize and define the pieces in this way. The teacher can write the vocabulary on the board and break each word into component pieces, as in remember $=r e-+$ turn. After that, create another word with the prefix " $r e "$ and give pupils another chance to practice.
'Building complex words'; When given word roots, learners might use them to create negative or ambiguous words (using -ish, -y, -able). The teacher introduces each component's meaning and purpose before constructing the complex terms. At this stage,
learners need to break down the presented word and analyze it: -ful serves as a suffix referring to "full of", and -able means "can be done". The teacher uses word analysis as an example. After understanding, decoding, and identifying the word pieces, learners are encouraged to make connections and infer the meaning of the complete word based on their prior knowledge. Learners should remember the stem first, then how to add suffixes.
'Choosing the correct form'; Learners are given sentences composed of a blank and a root word in brackets. They would switch the root to the proper inflected or derived form to finish the phrase. For example; am a $\qquad$ (design).

The word in the bracket is 'design'; a learner may change the root to the appropriate form
'Finding etymologies'; etymology, usually referred to as lexical change, is the study of the origin or derivation of a word. To understand how new words relate to ones they already know, learners need to check up on the etymologies of words in dictionaries. The teacher introduces the learners to Latin roots through enjoyable activities in this section. These activities, as previously indicated, are beneficial for learners to learn and practice new words. Teachers can use various techniques to present, including word cards, role plays, mimes, word guessing games, pic-to-word, and more. At the same time, if other students or the classroom equipment allows them with devices to connect to the internet, the learners can also encourage the class to learn using technology; for instance, they can use Kahoot to facilitate the games or activities.

In addition to the concept of derivational suffix strategy, there are various techniques for teaching vocabulary. However, English teachers need to educate their learners with new vocabulary to facilitate their ability to recognize new words. Therefore, it should be learned, practiced, and reviewed to prevent forgetting among learners. Each strategy employed by teachers depends on variables such as the subject material, the time available, and the importance of the technique to the learners. This provides teachers with some justification for employing particular strategies when presenting terminology.

Consequently, the derivational suffix technique assists learners in comprehending the meanings of new complex words. In order to expand vocabulary size, it is helpful to connect what is previously understood to what has to be learned.

### 2.4 Teaching vocabulary

### 2.4.1 Incidental vocabulary teaching

Incidental learning requires learning one stimulus setting while also paying attention to another. It could come from observations, talking with workers about projects, making errors, or reading a particular text. Furthermore, it is common for learners to expand their word bank (Laufer \& Hulstijn, 2001). The readers obtain the wordlist through incidental vocabulary learning will be preserved in long-term memory to employ in a broad range of situations. It should be emphasized that incidental learning is most effective for the proficiency of advanced learners. And also, the methods might be beneficial for second-language learners. However, learning is constrained by its timeconsuming, slowly receive grow naturally (Schmitt, 2000:120). This instruction approach may not be suitable for learners to achieve academic goals (Coady,1997:273). Therefore, intentional vocabulary acquisition focuses on deliberate vocabulary and requires learners to overcome these limitations. According to Nation (2001), incidental learning is a key to vocabulary acquisition; this learning occurs without a conscious effort to concentrate on vocabulary. Nation further explains that incidental vocabulary learning involves acquiring a word through hearing, speaking, reading, or writing while paying attention to the text's content rather than the word itself.

### 2.4.2 Deliberate vocabulary teaching

Deliberate vocabulary teaching has been a widely-used method of traditional vocabulary teaching (Ellis, 2001:1-46). The particular learning of derivatives is defined by Schmitt (2000:120) as explicit vocabulary learning, which requires an emphasis immediately on and exposure to the learning context. Hulstijn (2003) replied that deliberate vocabulary learning acquires knowing the word using memorizing strategies to recall these lexical items later. Intentional learning typically takes place rapidly, so it is typical for L2 learners to prefer this method over the other. Nevertheless, when they encounter low-frequency words, difficulty emerges as they cannot understand them. Despite Nation's claim (2001: 232) that vocabulary is acquired incidentally, he
maintains that intentional learning contributes to vocabulary learning. In the same manner, such assertion is supported by Schmitt (2000: 121) in that both deliberate and incidental learning is essential, so they ought to be taught. Hence, intentional learning includes learning vocabulary through the use of direct media or materials, such as a dictionary or a wordlist, to capture learners' attention to exposure to the form and meaning of the lexical items. About Nation (2013), the effectiveness of vocabulary learning is determined by the extent to which the learners engage in processing each word. He describes the result of acquiring a word through three cognitive processes: noticing, retrieval and creative (generative) use.

Table 7 Types of repetition of word meaning (Nation, 2013: p.457)

| Type of processing | Type of repetition |
| :--- | :--- |
| Noticing | Seeing the same word form and simultaneously presented meaning <br> again |
| Retrieval | Recalling the same meaning several times |
| Creative use | Recalling the meaning in different contexts requires a different <br> instantiation of the meaning |

Note that this entails directing attention to a particular word and labeling it as an unknown word. This suggests learners need to notice it and recognize its significance. As a result, the learners comprehended that they had encountered the word before, yet it is employed differently. In addition, once they recognize it, they are likely to attempt to decontextualize it, which will serve as the basics for better comprehension of that word. De-contextualization can take place either deliberately or unintentionally in different manners. Such a process may unfold while the learners listen to or read certain materials, when their teachers-stress a specific lexical item while talking about its meaning during speaking, or when the teachers attempt to explain lexical items by translation, using synonyms, or to provide their definition in L2.

The researcher noticed the importance of vocabulary teaching, particularly deliberate vocabulary teaching referred to a systematic approach to teaching vocabulary that was planned, intentional, and targeted. It involved selecting words that were important for learners to know and training them in a way that promotes retention and understanding. This could include instruction such as using context clues, providing
definitions, using visuals, and encouraging learners to use the words in their writing and speaking.

Successful cognitive processes were divided into three types of repetition of word meaning. Three processes showed noticing, retrieval, and creative use helped learners may master L2. Thus, the researcher attended suffix instruction, a specific type of deliberate vocabulary teaching focused on studying word parts, specifically suffixes. Suffixes are morphemes added to the end of a base word to create a new word with a different meaning or function. By teaching students to recognize and understand common suffixes, they could more easily decipher the meaning of unfamiliar words.

As noticing concerns directing learners' attention to acquire a word, retrieval enables them to retain or remember its meaning. As Nation (2012) proposed, frequent retrieval of a word during learning will allow the learners to store it more deeply in their memory. Consequently, repetition and retrieval of the word will enable the learners to recognize its definition while being exposed to and using it repeatedly will help them with a deeper understanding of its meaning. For reading, two or three repetitions contribute to the greatest improvement in learning, whereas for listening, five or six repetitions can result in the most extensive improvement (Vidal, 2011). As Brown, Waring, and Donkaewbua (2008) illustrated, the likelihood of acquiring a word increased due to frequent encounters. In contrast, according to Webb (2007), repetitions contributed to developing many aspects of vocabulary knowledge. A minimum of ten repetitions is necessary for acquiring a deep understanding of various facets of lexical items.

Finally, creative use occurs when words earlier encountered are encountered or employed again in manners different from the prior encounter (Nation, 2013, p. 110). As a consequence of these new meetings, learners are encouraged to re-conceptualize their knowledge of those words. As an example, when having encountered the word 'book' functioning as a noun in "We bought a book yesterday" and encountering the sentence "We booked tickets for a basketball match" (Evan \& Dooley, 2019), learners are required to question the meaning and usage of the word 'book' in this case. This event will enable learners to remember such a word in their minds. Creative use is not limited to the addition of word meaning, though. It can explain various changes from
inflections and derivations through collocations and grammar to reference and meaning (Nation, 2013).

Thus, according to Tabrizi and Feiz (2016), deliberate vocabulary learning is faster than incidental vocabulary learning in terms of vocabulary tests. Then, to Nation (2013), repetition contributes to vocabulary learning. In language acquisition, frequent exposure to vocabulary or spaced repletion is necessary for language learners. In addition, Elgort (2011) also stated that deliberate vocabulary learning outperforms incidental vocabulary learning because exposure to language input over time is required for incidental vocabulary learning. Naturalistic language learning is unusual in EFL or language learning in other contexts. On the contrary, deliberate vocabulary learning improves learners' vocabulary learning. This situation arises due to focused repetition or memorization strategies, which learners can perform in a short period. Surprisingly, through deliberate vocabulary learning, retention rates are relatively greater than incidental vocabulary learning (Hustijn, 2003). It can be concluded that learning vocabulary deliberately is effective and can enable learners to keep vocabulary in their memory (Nation \& Meara, 2010).

### 2.5. Assessing vocabulary

Assessing vocabulary knowledge is an essential for measuring learners' language competency with regard to vocabulary knowledge. These are the example three types of tests that gives an importance method to assess learners' vocabulary knowledge both receptive and productive provide learners' ability to understand target words in the tests.

## The Vocabulary Levels Test

Nation (1983) designed Vocabulary Level Test or VLT to the extent that learners could recognize the form-meaning of the word. The vocabulary level test is updated by Schmitt, Schmitt, \& Clapham (2001) with a four-level test: 2,000 words level test, 3,000 words level test, 5,000 words level test, the university words level test, and 10,000 words level test. The whole test will be completed when learners have done all levels or as individual levels. The VLT has presented 30 questions per level to participants matching the word and the correct meaning. It is separated into two columns: the left column contains words, and the right column includes definitions. Each level presents
the words in 10 clusters of six words (three keys and three distractors) and three definitions. The point will be awarded to the participants who respond correctly in each cluster, and the maximum total score will be 30 in each level. These are some examples of the VLT, developed by Schmitt, Schmitt, \& Clapham's (2001) versions, in which a noun cluster at the 2000 level in one.

Below is the example of VLT and the score shown below (Schmitt, Schmitt, \& Clapham, 2001, pp. 82):
Instructions: Match the words. Write the number of that word next with the correct definitions.

Target Word

1. copy
2. event
3. motor
4. pity
5. profit
6. tip

## Definitions

_6_ end or highest point
_3_ this moves a car
_1_ thing made to be like another

The VLT is generally used to focus on high-frequency words, academic words, or lowfrequency words. This diagnostic testing examines many aspects of a learner's vocabulary (the 2nd 1000, the 3rd 1000, the 5th 1000, the Academic Word List, and the 10th 1000).

The Word Segmentation Test (WST)
Hayashi and Murphy (2011) designed and developed the word segmentation test (WST) to extent that learners' receptive of a knowledge of word parts. It involves to measure the receptive knowledge of morphological awareness. The word components will be broken down into the smallest parts. The 20 target words are used to assess learners following the derivational suffix instruction. For example, one morpheme part of derivational suffix believable $=$ believe + able or two morpheme part of derivational suffix successfully $=$ success $+f u l+l y$. All the target words will be chosen from student's text books and derivational suffix will be used from seven level affixes by Bauer and Nation's (1993).

The Suffix Recall Test (SRT)
Nisa (2020) designed vocabulary knowledge through changing forms of derivational suffixes in various functions that identify nouns, verbs, adjectives, and adverbs to measure participants' knowledge of the grammatical functions of a word. It involves
the productive of vocabulary knowledge. The word prompt will be put in different grammatical function to the appropriate situation. For example, he did it ...carefully... (careful). The prompt word careful is an adjective and its changing to adverb in appropriate situation.

### 2.6 The Perception of vocabulary learning

Vocabulary learning was essential to mastering a language and a requirement for effective communication. According to Nation (2001), vocabulary knowledge is a fundamental component of language proficiency, and employing vocabulary effectively is crucial for all language abilities, including reading, writing, listening, and speaking. There has been a significant amount of research on the most effective methods for vocabulary learning. One commonly supposed explicit instruction involves presenting new words with clear definitions and examples, effectively improving vocabulary knowledge (e.g., Hulstijn \& Laufer, 2001; Nation, 2001).

Many learners who associate with a method for vocabulary development and explicit instruction revealed a perception of vocabulary learners could vary depending on an individual's background, motivation, and learning style. Some people may find it challenging to learn new vocabulary and feel frustrated or overwhelmed; others may enjoy requiring new words and find it stimulating and rewarding. According to Alharbi (2021), the participants have a positive attitude towards vocabulary learning and use various strategies to acquire and remember new words. The study also reveals some differences in perceptions based on gender and proficiency level. The findings suggest that EFL instructors should consider learners' perceptions and preferences when designing vocabulary instruction.

That vocabulary learning has influenced the effectiveness of learners' perception and is also essential to identify the individual's needs and preferences to design a practical and engaging learning experience.

### 2.7 Previous studies

Over the last decades, studies of vocabulary acquisition are increased significantly. It has long been held belief that affix knowledge has played a significant role in vocabulary acquisition. It helps learners retrieve the meaning senses of unknown words through receptive (e.g., Hajiyeva, 2014; Hayashi \& Murphy, 2011; Mochizuki \&

Aizawa, 2000; Nation, 2001, 2013; Schmitt, 2014; Schmitt \& Meara, 1997; Sukying, 2017, 2018a, 2020, 2022; Zhang \& Sukying, 2021; Zimmerman \& Meara, 2002). This section will review previous studies in vocabulary knowledge using the derivational suffix strategy.

## The relationship of vocabulary knowledge

Schmitt and Meara (1997) explored the relationship between word association and grammatical suffix knowledge of 95 secondary and university students over one academic year in Japan. The results showed that Japanese learners had poor knowledge of derivational suffixes and that their knowledge of inflectional suffixes was higher than that of derivational ones. Their results also showed that Japanese students gradually developed their knowledge of derivational suffixes. Specifically, Japanese learners increased about 3-5\% of derivational suffixes over one academic year. The results also showed the relationship between vocabulary size and receptive and productive derivational knowledge. However, Schmitt and Meara (1997) tested only 14 verbs and did not cover prefixes and other derivational categories. Their findings suggest the importance of teaching and learning derivational suffixes.

In support of Schmitt and Meara's (1997) study, Mochizuki and Aizawa (2000) probed the relationship between affixes and the vocabulary size of 403 Japanese learners at high school and tertiary levels. Nation's (1996) Vocabulary Levels Test was used to tap participants' vocabulary size, and the researcher-made affix knowledge tests were employed to measure knowledge of prefixes and suffixes. The results showed positive relationships between vocabulary knowledge, prefix and suffix knowledge and overall affixes. However, Mochizuki and Aizawa (2000) excluded productive knowledge of vocabulary and affixes. Together, these two studies indicate that more profound vocabulary knowledge promotes a better understanding of English affixes, enlarging students' vocabulary knowledge.

Following Mochizuki and Aizawa's (2000) study, Danilovic, Savic, and Dimitrijevic, 2013) further investigated affix acquisition order and its relationship between vocabulary size and affixes in 62 Serbian EFL university students. They found that the university students' suffix knowledge was higher than prefix knowledge. Although they found a significant relationship between vocabulary knowledge and knowledge of
prefixes, no significant correlations were observed between vocabulary sizes and suffixes. Their findings were inconsistent with Mochizuki and Aizawa's (2000) study that both prefixes and suffixes were related to vocabulary size.

Schmitt and Zimmerman (2002) examined the knowledge of derivative word forms using Bauer and Nation's (1993) word family theory. Sixteen prompt words were used to test nonnative university students' word class knowledge. The results showed that it was difficult for university students to recall and produce all four categories of the target word. Indeed, the study indicated that learners had partial knowledge of derivatives. The participants demonstrated an increased understanding of noun and verb derivatives at each step in comparing derivational mastery and knowledge of the prompt words on a four-stage developmental scale. However, adjective and adverb forms appeared to be more challenging for them. The findings might suggest that teaching derivative forms needs to be prioritized.

## Vocabulary teaching

Karliova (2009) explored English vocabulary by teaching Latinate word parts. The participants were EFL undergraduate students given the pre-posttest of Latinate word parts (pre-test and posttest are the same), including interviewing. First-year students received these tests to measure their knowledge of Latinate English vocabulary. However, the treatment on the Latinate word parts to the experimental group. The results showed the experimental group was higher scores than the control group. It implied that Latinate word parts developed English vocabulary and growth.

Hayashi and Murphy (2011) examined the morphological awareness in Japanese English learners. The two researcher-made affix tasks were used to assess participants' morphological understanding, and two existing tests were employed to measure vocabulary knowledge. The analysis revealed the relationship between vocabulary knowledge and morphological awareness. They also found that derivatives were learned after inflectional suffixes. Hayashi and Murphy (2011) further pointed out that Japanese learners' morphological awareness was heavily reliant on explicit classroom instruction. The study also highlighted the close relationship between morphological awareness and vocabulary size among Japanese learners. Their results align with
previous findings that both receptive and productive knowledge of morphology was correlated with vocabulary size (Schmitt \& Meara, 1997).

Kim Cholo (2013) compared two types of vocabulary learning: learning prefixes and suffixes formally and learning incidentally without using the knowledge of affixation. This study was carried out over ten weeks. The participant included 54 university students in Korea. The author used pretest and posttest to see the results of both vocabulary learning. The results found that students who learned through affix knowledge could memorize more vocabulary and know the meaning faster than the other group. In another experiment, Melissa Jean Cook (2016) examined the effects of games and songs on learning about affixes, including prefixes and suffixes, among third-grade students. The study used mixed-method research, drawing on quantitative and qualitative research paradigms. The findings showed that learning about affixes through games and songs was very helpful and supported students' motivation. However, the study included only a small group of participants.

In the Thai context, Ward and Chuenjundaeng (2009) investigated the acquisition and application of suffix knowledge using word families as a counting tool. The L2 learners were divided into two groups of EAP students in a Thai university and collected the data through word part analysis. The results of this study revealed that using word families as a counting tool leads to misunderstanding conclusions, especially with lower learners' proficiency. It was also shown gradually develop from the base word, the most frequently occurring word, to derived forms of the word. In addition, the results indicated the influence of exposure in suffix learning and that some forms of derivational suffixes were acquired before others.

Furthermore, Sritulanon (2012) studied the effects of morphological instruction on reading abilities of low proficiency adult EFL learners at a university in Thailand. The participants were measured by using morphological knowledge to guess the meaning in reading comprehension. However, the results had not shown differences between pre-post scores in both the experimental group and the control group. These supposed that learners' final score was not better in vocabulary knowledge and reading comprehension. The effect replied to two aspects, the first one might be relevant to lowproficiency learners that the affix knowledge could not help with vocabulary knowledge
and reading comprehension in terms of limited words $2,000-3,000$. Finally, morphological instruction and extensive reading through reading exercises may have helped low-proficient readers.

Sukying (2017) investigated the relationships between receptive and productive affix knowledge and vocabulary size. The 486 Thai EFL participants were measured with the two existing vocabulary size tests and three different measures of affix knowledge. The results illustrated a positive relationship between learners' receptive and productive affix knowledge and their growth receptively and productively in vocabulary size. The findings also showed that receptive affix knowledge improves productive affix knowledge and that receptive and productive affix knowledge increases vocabulary size. This indicates that affix learning is essential for vocabulary acquisition and growth. Affix knowledge is incrementally acquired at different speeds and varying degrees.

Building on Sukying's (2017) study, Sukying (2018b) investigated English affix knowledge acquisition in L2 learners. This may acquire learners to know another member of the word family, 32-word families. Productive knowledge is the relationship between L2 learners' productive affix knowledge and their vocabulary in a Thai university context. The results showed that the participants rarely recalled all forms of the word family. However, the participants did not complete the word family and incremental knowledge successfully. The implication of these findings revealed pedagogical strategy and theory. Sukying (2020) further explored the effects of affix instruction on acquiring a word. The receptive and productive affix knowledge measures were administered to 92 participants. Participants in the treatment group were provided explicit instruction on English affixes (Bauer \& Nation, 1993), while the participants in the control group were not. The results demonstrated a positive effect of affix instruction in English language classrooms. Specifically, the affix features involving linguistic and semantic transparency increased participants' receptive and productive performance. The study highlighted the significance of the explicit teaching of English affixes and their role in vocabulary acquisition and development. Sukying (2022) later suggested a five-stage order of English affix acquisition by studying high
school learners in Thailand. His findings yielded fruitful pedagogical implications for language classrooms.

Bubchaiya and Sukying (2022) used the word part strategy to improve primary school students in Thailand by using researcher-made tests to assess the participants' knowledge of word parts. The study showed that experimental participants outperformed the control peers, indicating the positive effect of word part instruction on vocabulary learning. The findings also suggested a high level of satisfaction with learning vocabulary through word part strategies. A cross-sectional study highlighted the relationship between morphological awareness and word knowledge among 104 primary school children in Thailand (Sukying \& Matwangsaeng, 2022). This study also pointed out that primary school children acquired different morphological items at different speeds and developed their morphological awareness as their education level (exposure) increased.

In conclusion, the literature review has shown that morphological awareness, or derivational suffixes used to operationalize the current study, has played a significant role in vocabulary acquisition and growth. However, little attention has been paid to the effect of affix knowledge on the basic educational level, especially in the Thai EFL context, where the study collected data from the participants who were studying in grade eight, in 2022, at the local high school in Surin, Northeastern, Thailand. Learning common derivational suffixes can aid in comprehending the form and meaning of new words and applying them in context clues, according to the researcher's methodology. The classroom activities illustrated the connection between noticing, retrieval, and creative use. These learners' familiarity with complex words, such as notice, assists them in remembering the word. Retrieval allows them to re-conceptualize and creative use due to relating the grammatical functions that would be added in the context. These fill the learners' level gap that derivational suffix instruction affects vocabulary knowledge.

Therefore, the current study will further investigate the impact of affix knowledge with an emphasis on derivational suffixes. It is assumed that derivational suffixes help learners facilitate their vocabulary learning and growth. It is also expected that the
current study will shed light on the role of affix knowledge in vocabulary acquisition and development.

### 2.7 Chapter summary

This chapter conceptualized vocabulary knowledge and word family theory. The literature review pointed out that derivational suffixes have significantly developed learners' vocabulary knowledge. The literature analysis also indicated that the notion of word families has long been perceived as the central organizing unit for teaching and learning vocabulary (Bauer \& Nation, 1993; Schmitt, 1997; Mochizuki \& Aizawa, 2000; Sukying, 2017, 2018a, 2018b, 2020, 2022, Zimmerman \& Meara, 2002). Accordingly, the current will take up the quasi-experiment study to further investigate the effect of derivative forms on vocabulary development among secondary school students in a regional area of education in the northeastern part of Thailand. The following chapter will present the research methodology of the study in detail.

## CHAPTER III

This chapter presents an overall description of the research methodology of the current study. The chapter begins with the research design-(see 3.1). This follows context and participant (see 3.2), the research instruments (see 3.3), and the research procedure (see 3.4). The chapter ends with a comprehensive briefing of the current study (see 3.5).

### 3.1 Research paradigm and design

Quantitative research fundamentally involves quantification, numbers and statistics (descriptive and inferential statistics) to address a research problem or objective. It usually requires a large sample size. This quasi-experimental study aimed to examine the causal-like relationship between variables. The independent variable is derivational suffix instruction collected both receptive and productive derivational suffix knowledge tests. It is also believed that an object of inquiry cannot be understood with complete accuracy. Instead, it is believed that objectivity is a regulative principle that reminds the researcher to be aware of potential influences of their personal bias or values and those of others involved in a research setting on research outcomes (Phakiti, 2015).

It known that the current study did not have complete control over all the variables that could affect experimental research outcomes. This was partly because language learners and the nature of learning and context were highly complex. Indeed, this study employed a quasi-experimental design to understand aspects of language learning amongst Thai high school learners. Subsequently, this study used two intact classes: one was an experimental group, and the other was a control group. The control group had no treatment, in contrast with the experimental group. Indeed, both groups of participants received general English classes. The only difference between the groups was that the experimental group received two hours of instruction on derivational suffixes a week. In contrast, the control participants received no extra instruction on derivational suffixes. The underlying reason for manipulating the variable was to examine the relative effect of explicit instruction on derivational suffixes as to vocabulary growth. The pre-and-post tests were administered to measure the impact of derivational suffix instruction throughout the experiment. Table 8 illustrates the research design of the current study.

Table 8 Research design

| Table 8 Research design |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Group | Vocabulary | Length of treatments <br> $(\mathbf{1 3}$ weeks $)$ | Vocabulary <br> tests | Learners <br> perception |
| Experimental <br> $(N=29)$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | General English + <br> derivational suffixes | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| Control <br> $(N=29)$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | General English + No <br> derivational suffixes | $\sqrt{ }$ |  |

### 3.2 Context and participants

### 3.2.1 Participants and setting

The current study collected the data at a local high school under the government administration in northeast Thailand. Fifty-eight EFL high school learners voluntarily participated, then the age ranges from 14 to 15 . They were divided into two groups, with 29 learners in each group. They were in a similar context, as well as similar students' textbooks, and studied at the same school where the researcher worked. It remained convenient for conducting the treatment and collecting data. The vocabulary size test developed by Schmitt (2000) was also used to assess the learners' vocabulary size, indicating that they had a small vocabulary size. Thus, their English language abilities were around A1 and lower levels based on CEFR proficiency according to the English course they were taking at school at the time of the study. The vocabulary size test Schmitt (2000) developed was also used to assess the learners' vocabulary size, indicating that they had a small vocabulary size.

The data were collected in the classroom based on two groups: the experimental group, which taught derivational suffix strategies, and the control group, which did not have any given explicit teaching in derivational suffix strategies. The researcher only gave them vocabulary and guessed the meaning by themselves. The participants generally used L1 (Thai language) to communicate with their friends. The participants were taught by the researchers, 60 minutes of English sessions by switching techniques, mainly using their mother tongue (L1) rather than L2, to save class time and understood better while doing activities in the class.

Furthermore, the Thai EFL participants were in grade eight and typically study English as part of their school curriculum, provided that all Thai EFL learners study English as a mandatory subject. Thus, participants were given a minimum of 1 year to learn English in high school and six years in primary education. The participants were familiar with the English language, whether vocabulary and grammar structure, involved with accessing English language media resources, for instance, the internet, news articles, movies, radio, smartphones, and intelligent television, would help them unrestricted. At the same time, the teacher frequently used English materials for teaching in the classroom.

### 3.3 Research instruments

The instrument of this study consisted of three tests and twelve items of questionnaires. The tests were the Word Segmentation Test (WST) and Suffix Recall Test (SRT). The tests were developed based on the theoretical framework of Nation (2013). Detailed descriptions of each test are as follows:

### 3.3.1 The Word Segmentation Test (WST)

WST measured receptive knowledge of word form, specifically, knowledge of suffixes (Hayashi \& Murphy, 2011). This test included 20 items. WST needed participants to break down words' components (derivational morphology) into smaller morphemes and the smallest parts of a language. For example, e.g., jump (verb) $\rightarrow$ jumper (noun) + -er (suffix). The word "jumper" was segmented into smaller morphological parts through analysis of semantic transparency. Furthermore, the test also measured participants' understanding in the form of derivational suffixes. The participants received one point for the correct answer in one morpheme and no point for incorrect in such one wrong root word or no answer. The researcher used English derivational suffixes based on Bauer and Nation's (1993) word family criteria and word lists from the student's book 'Access 2' developed by Verginia and Jenny (2019). The test designs were slightly adapted based on Nontasee and Sukying (2021). The word segmentation's scoring is shown in Table 9.
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Table 9 The Word Segmentation Test Scores
Instructions: Break down a word into the smallest parts. (คำชี้เจง ให้นักเรียนแยกส่วนประกอบของ คำที่กำหนดให้)

| Word's breakdown | Scoring |
| :--- | :---: |
| 1. beautiful $=$ beauty + ful | 2 |
| 2. beautifully $=$ beauty + ful $+\boldsymbol{l y}$ | 3 |
| 3. beautify $=$ bau + notify | 0 |

### 3.3.2 The Suffix Recall Test (SRT)

The test was intended to measure participants' productive knowledge and write awareness of word use by changing forms of derivational suffixes in various functions that identify nouns, verbs, adjectives, and adverbs. Nisa (2020) indicated derivational suffixes that did not only change form but function, for example, to change the form of a verb into a noun; attend $\rightarrow$ attendance. Hence, the test provided gap filling to put the derivational vocabulary in the prompt word into correct classification (noun, verb, adjective, and adverb); e.g. She is a....... (beauty) girl (beautiful). This test comprised 20 items. The test's points were awarded after learners put the correct words in the correct categories and no points for the words with incorrect categories. The test designs were based on Nontasee and Sukying (2021). The scoring criteria for this task are shown in Table 10.

Table 10 The Suffix Recall Test Scores
Instructions: Write a correct form of a word in the blanket for each blank in the sentence and choose an appropriate classification for the word you provided. (คำชี้เจง นำ คำศัพท์ที่กำหนดให้ในวงเล็บเติมลงในช่องว่างให้คุกต้อง พร้อมระุุชนิคของคำ)


### 3.3.3 The questionnaire

The current study adapted the questionnaire developed by Sukying (2020). It aimed to measure participants' perceptions of vocabulary learning through word parts instruction. The score was analyzed from 29 experimental group participants. The questionnaire employed twelve slightly adapted questions written by Sukying (2020). The 12 questionnaire items related to learners' perceptions about the effect of derivational suffix instruction on English vocabulary in Thai high school learners. There was English and Thai version to ensure that students could cover and understand the information. Participants were asked to rate their views the teaching methods according to a 5-point Likert scale: (1) strongly disagree, (2) disagree, (3) neutral, (4) agree, and (5) strongly agree.

### 3.4 Research procedure

### 3.4.1 Selecting the prompt word for the current study

Selecting words for learning regarded as a major concern for teachers, materials writers and researchers (Nation, 2013, Read, 2004). Besides, L2 research showed that highfrequency words, in a general sense, were words that frequently appeared in all types of spoken and written texts, regardless of the specific contexts (Laufer, 2014; Nation, 2013; Nation \& Webb, 2011). Without knowledge and fluent access to these words, students would suffer in L2 comprehension and production (Nation, 2013, 2016). Thus, high-frequency words should be prioritized in teaching and learning, especially for lower-level learners (Laufer, 2014; Nation, 2013). The current study employed Laufer and Nation's (2012) proposed selection criteria for vocabulary teaching, which included frequency, usefulness and difficulty (learnability). However, the prompt words in this study were chosen from the student's book 'Access 2 '. The vocabulary ranged from the book's units. Specifically, the criteria for selecting the word prompts are:

1. The teacher studied the primary English language objects and analyzed the aim of vocabulary selection.
2. The prompt words were selected to cover all the units from the book. The prerequisite to selecting words was that a minimum of two suffixes was added to each prompt word and changed parts of speech, nouns, verb, adjectives, and
adverbs. The proper and collective nouns and compounds were excluded. The total number of selected word prompts was 66.
3. The researcher checked 66 words against the New General Service List (NGSL); only 63 remained (Browne, Cullingan, \& Phillips, 2013). The vocabulary based on NGSL involved approximately 2,000 high-frequency words for learners in second language acquisition and covered $92 \%$ of general English texts. Therefore, the worth of word list was suitable for learners of second language knowledge. However, learners were required to know the word's meaning.
4. After checking 63 prompt words, the researcher piloted with the participants in different groups with a similar context to select the known and unknown meanings of the words. The 60 minutes were acquired to help participants finish to vocabulary pilot.
5. After the vocabulary checklist was piloted, the participants' least and most known words were excluded, as reported by the participants. However, all 63 prompt words were selected for teaching in the classroom.
6. Finally, the researcher designed the tests and the lesson plans. The lesson plans varied from 13 hours of derivational suffix instruction.

The list of prompt words includes 66, carefully checked against the New General Service List (NGSL). The final list consisted of 63 words:
adventure, bank, believe, bright, care, clear, clean, correct, contact, danger, develop, education, environment, emotion, entertain, final, happy, harm, hard, high, heart, joy, kind, large, love, light, material, mind, modern, moral, move, national, natural, peace, profession, pain, plant, play, power, present, rich, romantic, print, pure, quiet, real, sad, sharp, smoke, social, skill, sense, strange, success, smart, thank, time, tradition, transport, use, waste, weight and wet.

To summarize, the final list of the prompt words was applied to lesson plans for classroom practice. However, only 20 words were included in receptive and productive vocabulary knowledge measures. The criteria for selecting the prompts for the test were based on the members of word families; that is, a minimum of 6 members within the word families was included in the test. This was based on the average member of word
families (Nation, 2006). The 20 words included: modern, present, harm, mind, love, joy, final, develop, use, social, play, real, national, profession, care, peace, pain, moral, thank, and power

### 3.4.2 Selecting the target derivational suffixes

Note that derivational suffixes were essential for mastering grammatical functions in language use. The derivational suffixes typically assign syntactic and semantic relations with the word and generate forms of a lexical item in different grammatical categories (Sukying, 2018a). The study used Bauer and Nation's (1993) word family model to select the target suffixes. It was assumed that learners did not strive to learn different lexical forms separately if they were within the same word family. In this regard, derivational suffixes used in the current study included derived forms of Levels 3 (the most frequent and irregular derivational affixes) and 4 (frequent orthographically regular affixes).

The reason for using Levels 3 and 4 was that these derivational suffixes frequently occurred in the textbook ('Access 2'), which was used for English courses at a school. Moreover, the derivational suffixes listed in Levels 3 and 4 were regarded as a basis for the methodical learning and teaching of English and were widely accepted for wordbuilding devices (Bauer \& Nation, 1993; Sukying, 2017, 2018a, 2020, 2022). In addition, concerning the Thai National Curriculum, all target suffixes employed in the current study were adequately common, and high school students in Thailand might be expected to know them, at least partially known (Sukying, 2017). However, the study excluded Level 1 (each form is a different word) mainly because of the assumption that students tended to consider student and students to be related or members of the same word family (Sukying, 2017). The current study also excluded Levels 5 to 7 because these affixes (prefixes and suffixes) infrequently no occurred with the target words of the study. Therefore, the target derivational suffixes used in the study consisted of 13 derived forms, including -able, -er,-less,-ly, -ness, -al, -ation,-ful, -ism, -ist, -ize/-ise, -ment, -ous.

### 3.4.3 Lesson plan: Principles of suffix instruction

Teaching instruction was designed appropriately in one hour, including topic, objective, time, level, activity, materials, teaching procedure, and evaluation. The detail in the
derivational suffix instruction step was showed in Table 11 and the planning teaching step also showed in Figure 1. Similarly, the suffix instruction included, -able, -er, less, -ly, -ness, -al, -ation, -ful, -ism, -ist,-izel-ise, -ment, -ous were applied in classroom activities. Participants were also studied in parts of speech, including nouns, verbs, adjectives, and adverbs, to engage participants' motivation based on activities.

Table 11 shows some examples of derivational suffix instruction applied in the classroom activities for the experimental group.

Table 11 An example of derivational suffix instruction and activities

| 1. Topic | Derivational Suffix |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2. Objective | To identify the meaning of suffixes <br> To identify the classification of the suffix |
| 3. Time | 60 minutes |
| 4. Level | Grade 8 |
| 5. Activity | - Filling suffix <br> - Matching (cutting up complex words \& building complex words) <br> - Choosing a correct word (put it in the contexts) <br> - Finding the word |
| 6. Material | 1. Worksheet <br> 2. PowerPoint |
| 7. Teaching Procedures Warm-up (10 Mins) | 1. The participants were divided into 6 groups by randomly. <br> 2. The words were showed on the smart TV and participants saw a common word (carefully, rainy). <br> 3. The researcher asked a classroom representative to write the common word on the board $(-l y,-y)$. |
| Presentation <br> (Noticing) <br> (10 Mins) | 4. The researcher explained the objective to the participants. <br> 5. The researcher introduced the suffixes ' $-l y,-y$ ' and their meaning and then provided more examples. <br> 6. All participants are asked to pronounce each word together, then each group was asked, then each individual for being remember the words. |
| Practice (Retrieval) (10 Mins) | 7. The researcher assigned participants to complete the worksheets individually. The worksheet required participants to match, filling and choosing the words with the given definitions in 7-8 minutes. |


|  | 8. The researcher told participants to switch their worksheets with their friends to check if it is correct. Then, the researcher asked a participant randomly to say the answer ( 1 item $=1$ point, totaling 10 points). |
| :---: | :---: |
| Production <br> (Creative use) <br> (30 Mins) | 9. The researcher gave every group a piece of paper and asks them to find as many words as they can that begin with ' $-l y,-y$ ' in the Access 2 book Grade 8 in 5 minutes. <br> 10. When the time was up, the researcher asked a representative of each group to write the vocabulary on the board. Then researcher checked whether or not the response was correct. The winner was the group that identified the most words ending with ' $-l y,-y$ '. <br> 11. Let participants pronounced each word together and spell the words. <br> 12. Have participants play the game 'Wordwall' in the group. Learners need to help each other analyze the words (nouns, verbs, adjectives, and adverbs) <br> 0:06 |
| Conclusion <br> (5 Mins) | 14. The researcher reviews all vocabulary they learn, emphasizes the suffix, and parts of speech <br> 15. Give participants compliments to encourage them to learn English. |
| 8. Evaluation | From worksheet: Matching words with the definitions. Scoring is as follows: <br> 9-10 points $=$ Great <br> $7-8$ points $=$ Very good <br> 6-7 points $=$ Good <br> Less than 5 points $=$ Try harder! |



Figure 1 Teaching Demo

### 3.4.4 Data collection procedure

The data collection period was approximately three months in the classroom context, and three different tests collected the data on different days. Primarily, 'form' could be changed to the other aspects of word knowledge (Nation, 2001; Web, 2005; Laufer \& Goldstein, 2004; Sukying, 2018; Sukying, 2022), and ending with 'use' knowledge that learners acquired in L2 (Nation, 2001; Nontasee \& Sukying, 2021). The experimental and control groups were given a pre-test, a segmentation word test, and the suffix recall test for the first test. After pre-testing, the two groups received different teaching instructions. The experiment lasted 12 weeks, with an average of two hours a week.

The experimental group received explicit instruction on derivational suffixes. That is, the participants were introduced to the concept of Bauer and Nation's (1993) word families. Furthermore, the participants were taught morphologically complex words according to the concept of word building through suffixes derived from Bauer and Nation's (1993) word family model. Specifically, the participants were trained to form a more complex word by adding suffixes to the base word (e.g., break + -able $=$ breakable). The participants were also taught how to break words into smaller parts (e.g., scientist $=$ science + -ist).

By contrast, the control group received regular English classes, but they did not receive any special training regarding the derivational suffixes. Instead, they learned general English through a grammar-translation approach. Notably, the participants were taught grammar and the meaning senses of the words directly. To sum up, both groups received an average of two hours of English classes a week. The only difference was that the experimental group received special training on derivational suffixes based on the principles of word families, whereas the other group did not receive any. After the lessons were completed, participants were given a posttest. Then, the experimental group was requested to do the questionnaire. The data were collected from 29 participants. The questionnaire slightly adapted twelve questions written by a Thai researcher, Sukying (2020). The English version was translated into Thai and backtranslated to check whether the translation was accurate. This method allowed the researcher to see whether the original meanings had changed (Phakiti, 2014). The Thai version of the questionnaire was used to collect the experimental group participants' perceptions towards the instruction of derivational suffixes in vocabulary learning. The Thai version was employed mainly to avoid language barriers.

Table 12 demonstrates the data collection procedure.

| Group | Time 1 <br> (Pretest) | Teaching period | Time 2 <br> (Posttest) | Time 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Experimental | VLT <br> WST <br> SRT | General English lessons + <br> derivational suffixes | VLT <br> WST <br> CRT | Questionnaire |
| Control | VLT <br> WST <br> SRT | General English lessons | VLT <br> WST | x |

### 3.5 Establishing the reliability and validity of the tests

The test validity and reliability of the content, including questionnaire, were assessed by three experts in English education who had taught English to Thai EFL learners, two from university and the others from high school. The experts' opinions described the rating scale as follows:

$$
+1=\text { The item is appropriate }
$$

| 0 | $=$ | Not sure |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| -1 | $=$ | The item is not appropriate |

Item-Objective Congruence (IOC) measures the consistency of the test's items.

$$
\mathrm{IOC}=\frac{\Sigma R}{N}
$$

IOC means the index of congruence
R means the total score from the experts' opinion
N means the number of experts
The two tests and the questionnaire were evaluated by the experts. The items which received IOC higher than 0.5 were retained, but those lower than 0.5 were excluded. After evaluating the tests and interviewing questions succeeded, the researcher received comments from the experts.

Before collecting the data, the research instruments were piloted by 30 participants were different cohorts, and they were from the other school near the researcher's school area. However, they were similar in background context and characteristics regarding educational background. The participants in piloting needed to complete the tests (WST and SRT). The score was interpreted and analyzed to measure internal consistency reliability. The $p$ values were used to see the item difficulty, and it should typically range between .20 to .80 , with an average value that may vary depending on the purpose of the exam. All items in both tests were appropriate in terms of difficulty (See Appendix 4). Item discrimination (r-value) was also calculated to see how performance on the item differs or discriminates between a high-scoring group and a low-scoring group (See Appendix 4). SPSS seems to get Cronbach's (1951) alpha coefficients for the instrument results. Cronbach's $>0.70$, Nunnally's (1978) widely recognized social science criterion, was used to measure the scales' reliability. According to Nunnally and Bernstein (1994), Items with a correlation of $\leq \mathbf{r} .30$ to their scale should be maintained. If deleted data were then examined, Cronbach's Alpha to identify any more possible issues by determining whether any of the values exceeded the alpha reliability estimate for its factor. If Cronbach's Alpha deleted value exceeded its factor, the item's removal would enhance reliability. If an item was deleted, any items highlighted by Cronbach's Alpha were evaluated, and if they made substantive sense, the item was extracted. The
experts were also asked to evaluate all the tests and questionnaire items regarding the test validity. All validity, construct, face, and content were carefully checked.

### 3.6 Data analysis

To analyze the scores on the word segmentation and suffix recall tests, used SPSS to conduct paired samples $t$-tests and independent-sample $t$-tests. The $t$-tests assessed the learners' learning vocabulary ability before and after teaching derivational suffixes or regular class instruction. Specifically, two means of the same group of participants were compared before and after a treatment condition using a paired-samples t-test (Field, 2009).

In addition, the questionnaire would provide the scores from fixed rates on a 5-point Likert scale. This provided the item's rating to assess participants' perception of the derivational suffix instruction, which affected vocabulary knowledge acquisition in L2. Then, the data were analyzed using descriptive statistics (SPSS). The interpretation of the mean score was adapted from Landell (1997) is:

| Agree | 4 | points |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Neutral | 3 | points |
| Disagree | 2 | points |
| Strongly disagree | 1 | point |

The result of the questionnaire was interpreted in the following range

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
4.50-5.00 & = & \text { Very high } \\
3.50-4.49 & = & \text { High } \\
2.50-3.49 & = & \text { Moderate }
\end{array}
$$

$$
1.50-2.49 \quad=\quad \text { Low }
$$

3.7 Chapter summary

This chapter outlines the methodology of the study. It includes research design and approach, participants and setting, research instruments and data collection procedures follow. The chapter also provided information regarding the data analysis and related
statistics. The chapter ended with a summary. The next chapter will present the findings of the study and a preliminary discussion of the findings.


## CHAPTER IV

## RESULTS OF THE CURRENT STUDY

This chapter presents the results of the study through the analysis of descriptive and inferential statistics to answer research questions that explore the effect of derivational suffix instruction on Thai high school learners' English vocabulary learning. It also seeks to investigate their perceptions of derivational suffix instruction.

### 4.1 The effects of derivational suffixes on English vocabulary knowledge

This section addresses how derivational suffix instruction affects vocabulary learning in Thai high school learners. Two measures were used to assess the participants' knowledge of derivational suffixes. Both experimental and control groups were given the tests at pre-and-post-intervention times. The quantitative data were analyzed using descriptive and inferential statistics. Summary descriptive statistics for the derivational suffix knowledge tests are presented in Table 13. The results showed that participants in both groups performed better on the receptive knowledge test than on productive knowledge measures at all tests. Specifically, the experimental group participants achieved a mean performance of $47.20 \%$ on the WST ( $\mathrm{SD}=3.62$ ) before the intervention, whereas the control participants obtained a mean performance of $46.85 \%$ ( $\mathrm{SD}=2.21$ ). The experimental participants achieved a mean performance of $16.85 \%$ ( $\mathrm{SD}=3.83$ ), whereas the control participants got a mean performance of $17.05 \%$ $(S D=1.49)$ on the SRT. Other relevant figures are illustrated in Table 13.

Regarding the productive knowledge tasks, the results showed that the experimental participants obtained a mean performance of 16.85 on the SRT (SD=1.49) before the intervention, whereas they gained a mean performance of $33.25 \% ~(\mathrm{SD}=2.02)$. However, the control participants obtained a mean performance of $17.05 \%$ ( $\mathrm{SD}=1.32$ ) before the intervention, while they scored a mean performance of $17.05 \%$ ( $\mathrm{SD}=1.18$ ) on the SRT after the intervention. Together, these findings indicate that derivational suffix instruction positively affects vocabulary learning in Thai high school participants.

Skewness and kurtosis figures were also conducted, indicating that they were within the statistical assumptions of normality of two standard deviations. Furthermore,
skewness and kurtosis values were shown around $\pm 1$ and $\leq 2$ (Hill, 1998), which was verified to be a normal distribution. Then, there was no violation of the statistical assumption.

Table 13 A summary descriptive statistics for the derivational suffix knowledge tests

| Times |  | Tests | M | (\%) SD Skew |  |  | Kurtosis |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pretest | WST | 9.44 | 47.20 | 3.62 | 0.46 | -0.96 |
|  |  | SRT | 3.37 | 16.85 | 1.49 | 1.27 | 1.69 |
|  | Posttest | WST | 13.13 | 65.65 | 3.83 | 0.38 | -1.15 |
|  |  | SRT | 6.65 | 33.25 | 2.02 | 1.70 | 5.22 |
| ㅡ00000000000 | Pretest | WST | 9.37 | 46.85 | 2.21 | -0.22 | 0.29 |
|  |  | SRT | 3.41 | 17.05 | 1.32 | 1.34 | 2.02 |
|  | Posttest | WST | 10.34 | 51.70 | 2.63 | 0.21 | -0.62 |
|  |  | SRT | 3.41 | 17.05 | 1.18 | 0.92 | 0.97 |

A paired-samples $t$-test analysis was also performed to determine whether there was any significant difference between the derivational suffix knowledge tests before and after the treatment in the same group of participants. Effect size (d) analysis was also used to calculate the strength of vocabulary learning.

Table 14 Comparisons between pretest and posttest

|  | Pretest | Post-test | $\boldsymbol{t}$-value | Effect size (d) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | WST | $V S$ | WST | $12.55^{* * *}$ | 0.99 | mption . 13 A

aired-s
signific
the tre
to calcu
II
Experimental group ( $n=29$ )
Controlled group
( $n=29$ )

$$
\text { SRT } \quad V S \quad \text { SRT }
$$

$17.10^{* * *} \quad 1.85$

[^1]As shown in Table 14, based on the scores of the experiment-group participants, the two times (pretest and post-test) of the reception test of derivational suffix knowledge (WST) were significantly different, indicating a large effect size ( $t=12.55, p<0.001$, $d=0.99$ ), and the two times (pretest and post-test) of the production test of derivational suffix knowledge (SRT) were also statistically different, revealing a large effect size $(t$ $=17.10, p<0.001, d=1.85$ ). In contrast, the results of the controlled group only showed that there was just a significant difference between the pretest and post-test in the reception test (WST) with a small effect size ( $t=2.82, p<0.01, d=0.40$ ).


Figure 2 Experiment group performance
Figure 2 illustrates the summary of the paired-samples $t$-test analysis of the experimental group performance. The analyses of the results showed that receptive and productive knowledge tests of derivational suffixes were significantly different with large effect sizes at both pretest (WST versus SRT; $t=10.81, p<0.001, d=2.19$ ) and post-test (WST versus SRT; $t=10.79, p<0.001, d=2.12$ ).


Figure 3 Control group performance
Figure 3 shows the analysis of the paired-samples $t$-test of the control group's performance. The results indicated that there was a significant difference with large effect sizes at both pretest (WST versus SRT; $t=15.72, p<0.001, d=3.27$ ) and posttest (WST versus SRT; $t=14.57, p<0.001, d=3.40$ ).

An independent-samples $t$-test analysis was further used to examine any significant difference between the two different groups of participants (experiment and control) in the post-test time point (see Table 15). The effect size was also calculated and presented.

Table 15 Comparisons between two experimental groups in the post-test



Figure 4 Post-test score between experimental and controlled groups
As illustrated in Figure 4, the analysis of the results showed that there were statistically significant differences and large effect sizes on the reception test (WST) between experimental and controlled groups in the post-test $(t=3.23, p>0.01, d=0.85)$ and also on the production test (SRT) in the post-test $(t=7.45, p>0.05, d=1.96)$.


Figure 5 Comparison between experimental and controlled groups with the combined receptive and productive knowledge of derivational suffix

As shown in Figure 5, the comparison between experimental and controlled groups from the combined receptive and productive tests of derivational suffix knowledge was significantly different, revealing a medium effect size ( $t=3.81, p<0.001, d=0.71$ ). More specifically, the experiment-group participants scored better on derivational suffix knowledge at about $49.48 \%(M=9.90, S D=4.46)$ than the control-group participants' scores of approximately $34.39 \%(M=6.88, S D=4.03)$. It was apparent that after the treatment, the participants of the experimental group had a higher score in derivational suffix knowledge than the participants of the control group, about $15.09 \%$.

### 4.2 Participants' perceptions of instructional treatment

This section presents the results in response to Thai high school learners' perceptions of learning vocabulary through derivational suffixes. The quantitative data were collected from the questionnaire, and the mean, the standard deviation and the percentage were calculated to present the findings.

The questionnaire analysis showed that Thai high school participants had an average of 4.22 , or $84.48 \%$ ( $\mathrm{SD}=0.72$ ), indicating a high level of agreement that the derivational suffix instruction was beneficial for vocabulary learning. More precisely, the participants ( $92.41 \%$ ) concurred with the benefits of derivational suffix instruction; that is, derivational suffixes facilitated learning morphologically complex words and enhanced a deeper understanding of the word. Besides, participants (88.27\%) stated that derivational suffix instruction is a valuable approach to learning and teaching vocabulary. In addition, word families are a useful tool for learning new words. Other relevant are presented in Table 16.

Table 16 Students' perceptions of the derivational suffix instruction

| No. | Items |  |  | Mean | SD | $\%$ | Meaning |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Derivational suffixes help develop word knowledge | 4.62 | 0.68 | 92.41 | very |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | high |
| 2 | Derivational suffix instruction is a useful approach to | 4.41 | 0.73 | 88.27 | high |  |  |
|  | vocabulary learning |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | The notion of word families promotes vocabulary learning | 4.38 | 0.73 | 87.58 | high |  |  |
| 4 | Word family construct is beneficial for English language | 4.31 | 0.60 | 86.20 | high |  |  |
|  | learning and teaching | 4.28 | 0.84 | 85.51 | high |  |  |

        instruction
    $7 \quad$ Derivational suffixes knowledge enhances my English $4.21 \quad 0.77 \quad 84.13$ high language ability (e.g., grammar, meaning, and use of a word) Knowledge of derivational suffixes enhances English $4.17 \quad 0.85 \quad 83.44$ high grammar

| 9 | Derivational suffixes knowledge enhances writing skill | 4.17 | 0.89 | 83.44 | high |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 10 | Word families help me see the relationship of form- | 4.14 | 0.74 | 82.75 | high |
|  | meaning of a word |  |  |  |  |

According to the analysis of the quantitative data obtained from the questionnaire, the results showed that more than four-fifths concurred that derivational suffix instruction is helpful for learning vocabulary and morphologically complex words in English. In brief, the current study suggests that derivational suffixes are an essential element for complex words.

### 4.3 Chapter summary

This chapter has presented the findings of the study. Overall, the results show that derivational suffix instruction positively affects vocabulary learning and development in Thai high school learners. The questionnaire analysis also reveals that Thai high school participants have favourable perceptions about learning vocabulary through derivational suffix instruction. The next chapter will discuss the findings with a theoretical framework of word knowledge receptively and productively. The chapter will also discuss the results of previous studies, follow by the conclusion, implications, limitations and suggestions for further studies.

## CHAPTER V

## CONCLUSION AND DISCUSSION

This study revealed the effect of derivational suffixes on English vocabulary knowledge in Thai high school learners. The preceding chapter proposed to describe the conclusions and answer an overall description of research questions that assume play a significant role in the effect of derivational suffix instruction on English vocabulary in Thai high school learners and Thai high school learners' perceptions of derivational suffix instruction. The chapter begins with the effects of derivational suffix instruction (see 5.1). This follows learners' perception of derivational suffix instruction (see 5.2), the conclusion (see 5.3), and implications from the study (see 5.4). The chapter ends with its limitations and recommendations for future studies (see 5.5).

### 5.1 The effect of derivational suffix instruction on English vocabulary knowledge in Thai high school learners

The current study examined the effect of derivational suffix instruction on English vocabulary knowledge in Thai high school learners. It also sought to explore the learners' perceptions of learning vocabulary through derivational suffix teaching. The VLT was administered to measure the vocabulary size of experimental and control groups. By contrast, the WST and the SRT were used to measure participants' knowledge of derivational suffixes. Descriptive and inferential statistics were conducted to analyze the data. The results showed that the posttest scores significantly performed better than the pretest ones in both groups. The analysis of the findings also revealed that experimental participants significantly outperformed their control counterparts. These results suggest the efficacy of derivational suffix instruction on English vôcabulary learning among grade eight of Thai EFL high school learners.

In line with previous studies (Bubchaiya \& Sukying, 2022; Matwangsaeng \& Sukying, 2023; Sukying, 2020), the increased knowledge of derivational suffixes could be attributed to the emphasis on explicit instruction of derivatives in an EFL classroom. Nation (2013) argued that explicit teaching contributed to vocabulary learning. He further explained that the gain in vocabulary knowledge was the result of learning a word through cognitive processes: noticing, retrieval and creative use. More
specifically, the focus on derivational suffixes entailed directing the learners' attention to a particular vocabulary component and labelling it as an unknown item, leading to noticing it and recognizing its salient features (e.g. orthographic and grammatical properties). In addition, when the learners recognize it, they attempt to decontextualize it, which is essential for a better understanding of the word. This process may unfold when the teacher elaborates on a specific vocabulary item while explaining its meaning during teaching or when the teacher attempts to explain vocabulary items by translation, using synonyms, or providing their definition in L2.

As noticing concerns directing their attention to learning a word by providing the suffix meaning and some examples, retrieval enabled learners to retain and remember its meaning when the worksheets asked them to match, fill, and choose the correct words, as well as answer some questions from the teacher randomly. Nation (2013) pointed out that frequent retrieval of a word during explicit teaching allowed the learners to store it more deeply in their memory. In this regard, repetition and retrieval of the word enabled learners to recognize its meaning while being taught and seeing or using it repeatedly enhanced learners with a deeper comprehension of its meaning. Webb (2007) also pointed out that repetitions contributed to developing aspects of word knowledge. Furthermore, Brown et al. (2008) also suggested that learning a word increased due to frequent meetings. Regarding creative use, the learners were encouraged to re-conceptualize their knowledge of the word learning. For example, when having met the word 'book' as a noun in 'Students brought a book yesterday' and encountering the sentence 'Students booked tickets for a football match' (Evan \& Dooley, 2019), learners may have questioned the meaning and usage of the word 'book' in this case. In this study, the students were asked to work in groups to find the words in the book then analyze their functions. Such a phenomenon would enable learners to remember such a word in their minds. Creative use is thus not limited to the addition of word meaning. Indeed, it could explain various changes from infected and derived forms through grammatical features and meaning, according to Nation (2013). Together, intentional vocabulary teaching improves learners' vocabulary learning. This situation arises due to focused repetition or memorization strategies, which learners can perform in a short period. Undoubtedly, teaching vocabulary deliberately through
derivational suffixes is effective and enables learners, at least the study participants, to sustain words in their memory.

Another explanation for the increased vocabulary knowledge could be the explicit instruction of derivational suffixes. That is, deliberate teaching in English derivational suffixes facilitates learners noticing how words are formulated and can be deconstructed into smaller constituents, as illustrated in the WST (e.g. beautifully $=$ beauty $+-f u l+-l y)$. Expressed another way, when learners realize that words are morphologically complex elements that can be separated into affixes or lexical items, it becomes easier for them to segment or reconstruct them. This process is distinct to and more crucial than just memorizing the meaning of the words since when learners know how to form and parse affixed words, and they can invent new forms of the word using derivatives. This aligns with Sukying's (2020) results.

The efficacy of derivational suffix instruction could also be expounded by the concept of Bauer and Nation's (1993) word family per se. A new form of derivational suffixes conveys linguistic properties, as shown in the SRT, which measures the derivational suffix recall (She is a beautiful girl (beauty)). Therefore, it can confuse learners who meet it for the first time. For instance, final can change into final, finally, finalist, or finalize. Another example is the derivational suffix -er. It is added most commonly to verbs (e.g., play-player, smoke-smoker, use-user) but productively to other grammatical categories, where it means 'a person or thing related to it.' However, the derivational suffix -ness is usually attached to adjectives, meaning 'state or quality of it,' according to Bauer and Nation (1993).

Another example is the suffix-ation, which is typically added to a verb (e.g., createcreation). Such examples may become problematic to EFL learners since the meaning of the combination of the headword, and its new derived form is ambiguous (e.g., hopefulness, hopelessness). Therefore, explicit instruction of English derivational suffixes is essential for learning vocabulary among EFL learners. This finding is consistent with previous studies (Bubchaiya \& Sukying, 2022; Sukying, 2020; Ward \& Chuengjundaeng, 2009), indicating the benefits of affix instruction.

### 5.2 Participants' perception of the derivational suffix instruction in learning vocabulary

The study also explored the learners' perceptions of derivational suffix instruction in learning new words in a Thai high school. The five-point Likert scale questionnaire was administered to survey the participants' perceptions about their learning vocabulary through derivational suffix instruction. The analysis of the questionnaire data showed a high level of agreement, indicating that high school students viewed derivational suffix instruction as helpful for learning morphologically complex words.

In line with previous studies (e.g., Bubchaiya \& Sukying, 2022; Cook, 2016; Sukying, 2020), derivational affixes are essential for vocabulary learning and development. The positive perception of derivational suffix instruction could be because derivational suffixes are crucial word elements. The high satisfaction of learning vocabulary through word family units, particularly derivational suffixes, could also be due to activities and tasks. With the concept of word families, students are given opportunities to work collaboratively, identifying the relatedness of individual components of a word. In addition, students are offered activities to parse or deconstruct a morphologically complex word. Moreover, students learn how to identify grammatical categories of the word. These activities promote a more pleasant and engaging learning environment, increasing their understanding of the nature of morphologically complex words in English, especially recognizing and recalling orthographic and syntactic properties. Such activities also reinforce the student's motivation to learn new vocabulary.

These findings are consistent with previous studies (Bubchaiya \& Sukying, 2022; Nation, 2013; Sukying, 2020) that word families are perceived as a facilitative strategy for learning and teaching vocabulary. Overall, the current study provides information to support the importance of word families, especially derivational suffixes, in the EFL context. Classroom activities or tasks also play a crucial role in learning and developing vocabulary knowledge.

### 5.3 Conclusion

The current findings provided evidence to support the importance of derivational suffix knowledge in vocabulary acquisition and development. Indeed, the study highlighted the significant effect of derivational suffix instruction on learning new vocabulary among EFL learners. Moreover, the concept of word family should be focused on when teaching and learning vocabulary. The study also showed that learners had positive perceptions of learning vocabulary through deliberate teaching of derivational suffixes. In conclusion, instruction of derivational suffixes in English enhances and mediates learners acquiring vocabulary in both linguistic and semantic properties of the word. As such, derivatives are essential for learning and teaching new words.

### 5.4 Implications from the study

This study yielded some fruitful information for pedagogical and theoretical implications. From a pedagogical standpoint, the current study implies that adding explicit derivational instruction to EFL classroom practices is worthwhile. The efficacy of deliberate teaching of derivational suffixes has already been demonstrated, and thus this type of pedagogy should be added to English language classrooms. In addition, derivational suffix knowledge should be considered a facilitative tool for EFL learners since high school learners in the study can increase their metalinguistic strategies by thinking about the language and reflecting on their vocabulary learning process. Overall, the current study provides evidence to support the significant effect of English derivational suffix instruction on vocabulary learning. The study also highlights the significance of teaching English derivational suffixes and applying affixes' meaning and/or usage to the headword. Specifically, affix knowledge has the potential to be applied not only by language teachers to guide deliberate vocabulary learning and teaching in classes but also as an essential vehicle for independent learning for language learners. Also, the participants' vocabulary knowledge was gained from learning a word through cognitive processes: noticing, retrieval and creative use. This concept could be applied in the language classroom to deliberately help students learn the language.

From a theoretical perspective, the current study suggests that different aspects of derivational suffixes are acquired and mastered at different speeds, as measured by the WST and the SRT. Accordingly, the study points out that multiple formats of
knowledge assessment are necessary to obtain a clearer insight into the extent of learners' affix knowledge and its roles in vocabulary learning and development. Longitudinal studies would be particularly cost-effective in this regard. In particular, research would benefit from emphasizing the effect of different knowledge aspects of English prefixes and suffixes in different contexts and levels of English language abilities. Further studies on English affix learning and teaching would establish significant pedagogical and theoretical pathways for vocabulary acquisition and development.

### 5.5 Limitations and recommendations for future studies

The present study had some limitations of this knowledge. First, the study participants were limited to one homogenous group of students in Thailand, where students' proficiency levels were similar. Therefore, the current findings might not be generalizable to other contexts to some extent. In addition, the participants were selected using convenience sampling because the researcher was a school teacher. Indeed, the participants were not randomly assigned to groups. Finally, learning activities or tasks are, to some extent, restricted due to the Covid-19 pandemic situation. In addition, the measures of derivational suffixes were explicitly developed for this research purpose.

The current study suggests the sufficiency of L2 learners in wording structure and English skills due to the different abilities of learners, some of whom might expand their vocabulary knowledge and others of whom may have limited English vocabulary knowledge. For example, using the SRT improved grammatical functions with learners who had English problems. SRT would be helpful by changing forms of derivational suffixes in various tasks that identify nouns, verbs, adjectives, and adverbs, particularly in writing contexts. However, the most effective teaching method may depend on each learner's unique requirements ând learning style.

The study has also shown the importance of affixes, particularly derivational suffixes. Accordingly, multiple assessment forms may be needed to better understand affix knowledge. Longitudinal studies would be helpful. Indeed, additional longitudinal research with different cohorts would benefit from emphasizing incremental development of affix knowledge aspects in different contexts and language abilities.

Further studies on affix acquisition would establish pedagogical and theoretical pathways for vocabulary learning and development.
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## APPENDICES



## Appendix I-A: Vocabulary Levels Test

This is a vocabulary test. You must choose the right word to go with each meaning. Write the number of that word next to its meaning. Here is an example.

1. business
2. clock
3. horse
4. pencil

part of a house animal with four legs
5. shoe
6. wall

You answer it in the following way.

1. business
2. clock
3. horse
$\qquad$ 6 part of a house
4. pencil
$\qquad$
3 animal with four legs
5. shoe
6. wall

## 2000 level

1. copy
2. event

end or highest point
3. motor
4. pity this moves a car
5. profit
6. tip
7. coffee
8. disease
9. justice
10. skirt
11. stage
12. wage
13. dozen
14. empire
15. gift
16. opportunity
17. relief
18. tax
19. arrange
20. develop
21. lean
chance
twelve
money paid to the government
22. owe
___ like more than something else
23. prefer
24. seize
25. ancient
26. curious $\qquad$ not easy
27. difficult $\qquad$ very old
28. entire $\qquad$ related to God
29. holy
30. social
31. accident
32. debt
___ loud deep sound
33. fortune
34. pride
35. roar
36. thread
37. clerk
38. frame
$\qquad$ something you must pay
$\ldots$ having a high opinion of yourself
39. noise
40. respect
41. theatre
42. wine
43. admire
44. complain

make wider or longer
45. fix
46. hire
47. introduce
48. stretch
49. blame
50. elect
 bring in for the first time have a high opinion of someone
51. jump
52. manufacture
53. melt
54. threaten
55. bitter
56. independent
57. lovely
58. merry
59. popular
60. slight

beautiful small
 liked by many people

## 3000 Level

1. bull
2. champion $\qquad$ formal and serious manner
3. dignity
4. hell
5. museum
6. solution
7. comment
8. gown
9. import
10. nerve
11. pasture
12. tradition
13. atmosphere
14. counsel
15. factor
16. hen
17. lawn
18. muscle
19. assemble
20. attach
21. peer

long formal dress goods from a foreign country part of the body which carries feeling
22. quit $\qquad$
look closely stop doing something
23. scream
24. toss
25. brilliant
26. distinct
27. magic
28. naked cry out loudly in fear
29. slender
30. stable
31. blanket
32. contest
33. generation
34. merit
35. plot
36. vacation
37. administration
38. angel $\qquad$ group of animals
39. frost
___ spirit who servers God
40. herd $\qquad$ managing business and affairs
41. fort
42. pond
43. abandon
44. dwell
45. oblige
46. pursue
47. quote
48. resolve
49. drift
50. endure
51. grasp
52. knit
53. register
54. tumble
55. aware
56. blank
57. desperate
58. normal
59. striking
60. supreme


## Appendix I-B: The Word Segmentation Task

Introductions: Break down a word into the smallest parts. (คำชี้แจง ให้นักเรียนแยก ส่วนประกอบของคำที่กำหนดให้)


## Appendix I-C: The Derivational Suffix Task

Instructions: Write a correct form of a word in the blanket for each blank in the sentence and choose an appropriate classification for the word you provided. (คำชี้แจง นำคำศัพท์ที่กำหนดให้ในวงเล็บเติมลงในช่องว่างให้ถูกต้อง พร้อมระบุชนิดของคำ)
E.g.

A: the necklace is ...beautiful... (beauty), the bead reminds me of ancient China.

| Missing derivational suffix |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N | V | Adj. | Adv. |
|  |  | $\times$ |  |

B: This was not the film in which everyone lived ....happily... (happy) ever after.

| Missing derivational suffix |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N | V | Adj. | Adv. |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\times$ |


| Context | Classification |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | N. | V. | Adj. | Adv. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

2. We will our decision for the summer trip this
afternoon. (final)
3. Doctors have warned against the $\qquad$ effect on the environment. (harm)
4. My right wrist is so
at times I nearly faint. (pain)
5. He is a and very playful puppy. (love)
6. The school director will raise the money to classrooms. (modern)
7. Jones advised us to slowly and $\qquad$ add cooked food and grains back into our diet. (mind)

| Context | Classification |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N. | V. | Adj. | Adv. |
| 8. Others $\qquad$ (moral) the faithful in light of her virtuous life. (moral) |  |  |  |  |
| 9. She has a British (nation) |  |  |  |  |
| 10. Sunday was so .................... in our home. (peace) |  |  |  |  |
| 11. I do not say he is not a good $\qquad$ just not great. (play) |  |  |  |  |
| 12. She's singing .................. anthems for a new generation. (power) |  |  |  |  |
| 13. He shows us an impressive PowerPoint ................... (present) |  |  |  |  |
| 14. I am a $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . . . . .$. photographer. (profession) |  |  |  |  |
| 15. We try to help all students $\qquad$ their full potential desire. (real) |  |  |  |  |
| 16. They are trying to build. in their own country. (social) |  |  |  |  |
| 17. There were no prints on the teapot or bowl. (us |  |  |  |  |
| 18. I feel a sense of ..................., a peace that I knew him. (thank) |  | . |  |  |
| 19. It is necessary for computer software (develop) |  |  |  |  |
| 20. A $\qquad$ crowd eagerly awaiting the countdown to midnight on New Year's Eve. (joy) |  |  |  |  |
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## เรียน ผู้ปกครองของผู้ตอบแบบสอบถามทุกท่าน

เนื่องด้วยข้าพเจ้า นางสาวภัทธิรา สุมาลี นิสิตปริญญาโท สาขาวิชาการสอนภาษาอังกฤษ คณะมนุษยศาสตร์ และสังคมศาสตร์ มหาวิทยาลัยมหาสารคาม กำลังดำเนินการวิจัย เรื่อง ผลของการสร้างคำที่เกี่ยวข้องกันต่อความรู้เืื่อง คำศัพท์ภาษาอังกฤษของนักเรียนชั้นมัธยมศึกษาไทย (The Effects of Derivational Suffixes on English Vocabulary Knowledge in Thai High School Learners) โดยมีวัตถุประสงค์ของการวิจัย เพื่อศีกษาเรื่องผลของการเรียนรู้คำคัพท์ ผ่านการสอนโดยใช้สตทัศนูปกรณ์ในผู้เรียนภาษาอังกฤษในฐานะภาษาต่างประเทศของนักเรียนระดับชั้นประถมศีกษา

ท่านอาจจะไมได้รับประโยชน์โดยตรงจากการเข้าร่วมโครงการวิจัยนี้ แต่ข้อมูลที่ได้จะมีประโยชน์ต่อทางวิชาการ หากท่านตัดสินใจให้เด็กในปกครองของท่านเข้าร่วมการวิจัยแล้ว ผู้วัยจะขอให้เด็กในปกครองของท่านทำแบบทดทอบวัด ความรู้เกี่ยวกับวงคำศัพท์ ความรู้คำศัพท์ภาษาอังกฤษทั้ดด้านการรับสารและการส่งสาร จำนวน 2 ชุด โดยข้อสอบทั้ง 2 ชุด จะใช้เวลาชุดละ 60 นาที ในวันเวลาที่ต่างกัน และจะขอรับแบบทดสอบวัดความรู้ดยผู้วัจัยจะไปเก็บด้วยตนเอง

หากเด็กในปกครองของท่านรู้สึกอึดอัด หรือรู้สึกไม่สบายใจกับบางคำถาม เด็กในปกครองของท่านมีสิทธิ์ที่จะไม่ ตอบคำถามเหล่านั้นได้ รวมถึงเด็กในปกครองของท่านมีสิทธิ์ถอนตัวออกจากโครงการนี้เม่อใดกได้ โดยไม่ต้องแจ้งให้ทราบ ล่วงหน้า และการไม่เข้าร่วมวิจัยหรือถอนตัวออกจากโครงการวิจัยนี้ จะไม่มีผลกระทบต่อผลการเรียนของเด็กในปกครอง ของท่าน

ข้อมูลในการตอบแบบสอบถามของเด็กในปกครองของท่านจะถูกเก็บรักษาไว้ ไม่เปิดเผยต่อสาธารณะเป็น รายบุคคล แต่จะรายงานผลการวิจัยในภาพรวมเท่านั้น และจะดำเนินการทำลายข้อมูลที่เกี่ยวข้องภายหลังเสร็จสิ้นการ วิจัย

## การวิจัยครั้งนี้เด็กในปกครองท่านจะไม่ได้รับค่าตอบแทนและไม่เสียค่าใช้จ่ายใด ๆ ทั้งสิ้น

หากท่านและเด็กในปกครองของท่านมีข้อสงสัยเกี่ยวกับงานวิจัย โปรดติดต่อได้ที่
นางสาวภัทธิรา สุมาลี คณะมนุษศศสตร์และสังคมศาสตร์ มหาวิทยาลัยมหาสารคาม เบอร์ททศัพท์ 082-864-8861 และหากท่านและเด็กในปกครองของท่านได้รับการปฏิบัติไม่ตรงตามที่ระบุไว้หรือต้องการทราบสิทธิของ ท่านและเด็กในปกครองของท่านขณะเข้าร่วมการวิจัยนี้ สามารถติดต่อได้ที่ "คณะกรรมการจริยธรรมการวิจัยในคน มหาวิทยาลัยมหาสารคาม กองส่งเสิมกการวิจัยและบริการวิชาการ มหาวิทยาลัยมหาสารคาม" โทร. $043-754416$ เบอร์ ภายใน 1755


## The Research Instruments

## Student instruction sheet for the Levels Test

This is a vocabulary test. You must choose the right. word to go with each meaning. Write the number of that word next to its meaning. Here is an example.

| business |
| :--- |
| clock |
| horse |
| pencil |
| shoe |
| wall |

You answer it in the following way.

| animal with four legs |
| :--- |
| business |
| clock |
| horse |
| pencil |
| shoe |
| shall |



Some words are in the test to make it more difficult. You do not have to find a meaning for these words. In the example above, these words are business, clock and shoe.

If you have no idea about the meaning of a word, do not guess. But if you think you might know the meaning, then you should try to find the answer.

Appendix 2 The Vocabulary Levels Test: Version 2 (© Norbert Schmitt)
The 2000 word level

| 1 | copy |  | end or highest | 1 | accident |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | event | point | 2 | debt | loud deep |
| 3 | motor | this moves a | 3 | fortune | sound |
| 4 | pity | car | 4 | pride | something you |
| 5 | profit | thing made to | 5 | roar | must pay |
| 6 | tip | be like | 6 | thread | having a high |
|  |  |  |  | opinion of <br> another |  |
| yourself |  |  |  |  |  |


| 1 | coffee | money for | 1 | arrange | grow |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | disease | work | 2 | develop | put in order |
| 3 | justice | a piece of | 3 | lean | like more than |
| 4 | skirt | clothing | 4 | owe | something |
| 5 | stage | using the law | 5 | prefer | else |
| 6 | wage | in the right way | 6 | seize |  |
| 1 | clerk | a drink | 1 | blame | make |
| 2 | frame | office worker | 2 | elect | choose by |
| 3 | noise | unwanted | 3 | jump | voting |
| 4 | respect | sound | 4 | threaten | become like |
| 5 | theater |  | 5 | melt | water |
| 6 | wine |  | 6 | manufacture |  |
| 1 | dozen | chance | 1 | ancient | not easy |
| 2 | empire | twelve | 2 | curious | very old |
| 3 | gift | money paid | 3 | difficult | related to God |
| 4 | tax | to the | 4 | entire |  |
| 5 | relief | government | 5 | holy |  |
| 6 | opportunity |  | 5 | social |  |
| 1 | admire | make wider or | 1 | slight | beautiful |
| 2 | complain | longer | 2 | bitter | small |
| 3 | fix | bring in for | 3 | lovely | liked by many |
| 4 | hire | the first time | 4 | merry | people |
| 5 | introduce | have a high | 5 | popular |  |
| 6 | stretch | opinion of someone | 6 | independent |  |

The 3000 word level



## Academic Vocabulary

| 1 | area | written | 1 | adult | end |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | contract | agreement | 2 | vehicle | end |
| 3 | definition | way of doing | 3 | exploitation | to move used |
| 4 | evidence | something | 4 | infrastructure | people or |
| 5 | method | reason for | 5 | termination | goods |
| 6 | role | believing | 6 | schedule | list of things |
|  |  | something is <br> or is not true |  | to do at |  |




The 5000 word level


## Appendix B: The Word Segmentation Task

Introductions: Break down a word into the smallest parts. (คำชี้เจง ให้นักเรียนแยกส่วนประกอบ ของคำที่กำหนดให้)
E.g., adventurous $\rightarrow$ adventur + ous
brightness $\rightarrow$ bright + ness
moveable $\rightarrow$ move + able
plantation $\rightarrow$ plant + ation


## Appendix C: The Derivational Suffix Task



Instructions: Write a correct form of a word in the blanket for eacticank in the sentence and choose an appropriate classification for the word you provided. (คำชื้แจง นำ คำศัพท์ที่กำหนดให้ในวงเธ็บเติมลงในช่องว่างให้ถูต้อง พร้อมระบุชนิดของคำ)
E.g. A: She is a ...beautiful..

(beauty) girl.

B: She was ...happily... (happy) married by that time to Tom Redfern.

| Missing derivational suffix |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| N | V | Adj. |  |
|  |  | Adv. |  |
|  |  |  |  |


| Context | Classification |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N. | V. | Adj. | Adv. |
| 1. It think some people haven't read .................... (care) the job posting. |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Before I ....................... (final) the list, I want to hear from you. |  |  |  |  |
| 3. This was a little .......................... (harm) fun, no feelings involved. |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Peace and $\qquad$ (pain): a feeling that the ties that bind one to the world have been cut. |  |  |  |  |
| 5. He is a ....................... (love) and very playful puppy. |  |  |  |  |
| 6. The Bible had that right. And the $\qquad$ (material) scientists are deluding themselves |  |  |  |  |
| 7. You still have to be $\qquad$ (mind) that you're on TV and you're saying things. |  |  |  |  |
| 8. (moral) is not merely a practice that results in an individual, group, or activity |  |  | , |  |



## แบบยินยอมให้ทำการวิจัยสำหรับอาสาสมัครอายุ $7-18$ ปี

ข้าพเจ้า (นาย /นาง /นางสาว) $\qquad$ นามสกุล $\qquad$ อายุ.. $\qquad$ .ปี เกี่ยวข้อง
เป็นบิดา/มารดา/ผู้ปกครองของ (ด.ญ./ด.ช./นาย/นางสาว) $\qquad$ .นามสกุล.. $\qquad$ ..อายุ.............. ...ป

ขอแสดงความยินยอมให้ดด็กในปกครองของข้าพเจ้าเข้าร่วมการวิจัย ในโครงการวิจัยเรื่อง "ผลของการสร้างคำที่เกี่ยวข้อง กันต่อความรู้เรื่องคำศัพท์ภาษาอังกฤษของนักเรียนชั้นมัธยมศีกษาไทย (The Effects of Derivational Suffixes on English Vocabulary Knowledge in Thai High School Learners)"

ข้าพเจ้าและเด็กในปกครอง/ในความดูแลของข้าพเจ้า ได้รับทราบรายละเอียดเกี่ยวกับที่มาและวัตถุประสงค์ใน การทำวิจัย รายละเอียดขั้นตอนต่าง ๆ ที่จะต้องปฏิบัติหรือได้รับการปฏิบิติ ความเสี่ยง/อันตราย และประโยชน์ซึ่งจะ เกิดขึ้นจากการวิจัยเรื่องนี้ ข้าพเจ้าได้อ่านรายละเอียดในแบบชี้แจงสำหรับอาสาสมัครหรือู้มีสี่วนร่วมในการวิจัยโดยตลอด และได้รับคำอธิบายจากผู้วั้ย จนเข้าใจเป็นอย่างดีแล้ว

ตลอดจนการรับรองจากกู้ว้วัยที่จะเก็บรักษาข้อมูลของเด็กในปกครองของข้าพเจ้าไว้เป็นความลับ และจะไม่ระบุ ชื่อหรือข้อมูลส่วนตัวเป็นรายบุคคลต่อสาธารณชน โดยผลการวิจัยจะนำเสนอในลักษณะภาพรวมที่เป็นการสรุป ผลการวจัยเพื่อประโยชน์ทางวิชาการเท่านั้น

ข้าพเจ้าจึงสมัครใจให้เด็กในปกครอง/นความดูแลของข้าพเจ้าเข้าร่วมในโครงการวิจัยนี้ ภายใต้เงื่อนไขที่ระบุไว้ ในแบบชี้แจงอาสาสมัคร โดยข้าพเจ้ายินยอมให้เด็กในปกครอง/นความดูแลของข้าพเจ้า เข้าร่วมในการวิจัย และเด็กใน ปกครอง/ในความดูแลของข้าพเจ้าสมัครโจเข้าร่วมการวิจัยนี้ ภายใต้เื่อนไขที่ระบุไว้ในแบบชี้แจงอาสาสมัคร

ข้าพเจ้ามีสิทธิให้ผู้ที่อยู่ในปกครอง/นความดูแลของข้าพเจ้าหรือเป็นความประสงค์ของผู้ที่อยู่ในปกครอง/น ความดูแล ถอนตัวออกจากการวิจัยเมื่อใดก๋ได้ โดยไม่ต้องแจ้งเหตุผล ซึ่งการถอนตัวออกจากการวิจัยนั้น จะไม่มีผลกระทบ ในทางใด ๆ ต่อผู้ที่อยู่ในปกครอง/นความดูแลของข้าพเจ้าและตัวข้าพเจ้าทั้งสิ้น

ข้าพเจ้าได้รับคำรับรองว่า ผู้วัจัยจะปฏิบัติต่อผู้ที่อยู่ในปกครอง/ในความดูแลของข้าพเจ้า ตามข้อมูลที่ระบุไว้ ในเอกสารชี้แจงผู้เข้าร่วมการวิจัย และข้อมูลใดๆที่เกี่ยวข้องกับผู้ที่ยู่ในปกครอง/นความดูแลของข้าพเจ้า ผู้วัวัยจะ เก็บรักษาเป็นความลับ โดยจะนำเสนอข้อมูลจากการวิจัยเป็นภาพรวมเท่านั้น ไม่มีข้อมูลใดในการรายงานที่จะนำไปสู่ การระบุตัผู้ที่อยู่ในปกครอง/นความดูแลของข้าพเจ้าและตัขข้าพเจ้า

หากข้าพเจ้าและผู้ที่ยู่ในความปกครองของข้าพเจ้ามีข้อข้องใเเกี่ยวกับขั้นตอนของการวิจัย ข้าพเจ้าสามารถ ติดต่อกับ นางสาวภัทธิรา สุมาลี คณะมนุษยศาสตร์และสังงมศาสตร์ มหาวิทยาลัยมหาสารคาม เบอร์โทรศัพท์ $082-$ 8648861 ได้ตลอด 24 ชั่วโมง

ข้าพเจ้าและผู้ที่อยู่ในปกครองของข้าพเจ้าเข้าใจข้อความในแบบคำชี้แจงอาสาสมัคร และแบบยินยอมนี้โดย ตลอดแล้ว จึงลงลายมือซื่อไว้


## Appendix IV: Item difficulty and item discrimination

| Test | item | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WST | H | 14 | 13 | 16 | 14 | 13 | 12 | 14 | 12 | 16 | 16 | 15 | 14 | 16 | 14 | 14 | 12 | 15 | 10 | 13 | 15 |
|  | L | 5 | 5 | 4 | 7 | 2 | 7 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 1 |
|  | p | 0.633 | 0.6 | 0.667 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.633 | 0.633 | 0.567 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.633 | 0.733 | 0.533 | 0.633 | 0.567 | 0.533 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.533 |
|  | r | 0.6 | 0.533 | 0.8 | 0.467 | 0.733 | 0.333 | 0.6 | 0.467 | 0.733 | 0.933 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.667 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.467 | 0.933 | 0.333 | 0.733 | 0.933 |
| SRT | H | 12 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 14 | 13 | 12 | 10 | 12 | 14 | 16 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 15 | 14 | 10 | 10 |
|  | L | 4 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | p | 0.533 | 0.433 | 0.5 | 0.467 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.667 | 0.433 | 0.533 | 0.467 | 0.433 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.533 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
|  | r | 0.533 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.667 | 0.867 | 0.733 | 0.6 | 0.533 | 0.6 | 0.667 | 0.8 | 0.733 | 0.533 | 0.667 | 0.733 | 0.467 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.533 | 0.533 |

## Appendix V-A: IOC (Word Segmentation Test)



ลงชื่อ
(นางสาวบัณณ์ติยา เงางาม)
ผู้เชี่ยวชาญคนที่ 2
ลงชื่อ
(นางสาวปริศนา สุขเกษม)
ผู้เชี่ยวชาญคนที่ 3

## Appendix V-B: IOC (Suffix Recall Test)

| ค่า IOC ของข้อสอบ SRT |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Items | Experts |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 2 | - 3 | ค่าเฉลี่ย |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0.666667 |
| 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0.666667 |
| 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 6 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 7 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 8 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.666667 |
| 9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 10 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 11 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 12 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 13 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 14 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 15 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.666667 |
| 16 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 17 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0.666667 |
| 18 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 19 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 20 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0.666667 |

## ลงชื่อ

(นางสาวสรินญา พิมพ์ทอง)
ผู้เชี่ยวชาญคนที่ 1
ลงชื่อ
(นางสาวบัณณ์ติยา เงางาม)
ผู้เชี่ยวชาญคนที่ 2
ลงชื่อ
(นางสาวปริศนา สุขเกษม)
ผู้เชี่ยวชาญคนที่ 3

## Appendix V-C: IOC (Questionnaires)



## BIOGRAPHY

| NAME | Miss Pattira Sumalee |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATE OF BIRTH | 5 June 1990 |
| PLACE OF BIRTH | Surin, Thailand |
| ADDRESS | $252 / 1$ Moo 6, Chomphra, Surin, Thailand |
| POSITION | An English teacher |
| PLACE OF WORK | Yangwitthayakarn School |
| EDUCATION | 2013 Bachelor of Arts (B.A.) English and Communication <br> (EC), Ubon Ratchathani University, Thailand |
|  | 2023 Master of Education (M.Ed) Program in English <br> Language Teaching, Mahasarakham University, <br> Thailand |


[^0]:    ab- (abnormal), ad- (admixture), com- (commiserate), de- (demist), dis- (disintegrate), ex- (out external), in- (in - internal), ob- (obsequious), per- (perspective), pro- (in front of - procede), trans- (transmogrification)

[^1]:    Notes: ${ }^{* * * p}<0.001,{ }^{* *} p<0.01$

